

Cabinet

11 November 2013

Present: N Redfearn (Elected Mayor) (in the Chair)
Councillors CA Burdis, EN Darke, R Glindon, I Grayson,
JLL Harrison, F Lott, CB Pickard, L Spillard and JJ Stirling

In Attendance: Councillor JM Allan
Councillor B Burdis
S Elliott (Business Representative)
L Goodwin (North Tyneside VODA)
R Layton (JTUC)
I Sidney (Young Mayor)
A Thompson (North Tyneside Clinical Commissioning Group)

CAB114/11/13 Apologies

Apologies were received from A Caldwell (Age UK North Tyneside),
M Cushlow (North Tyneside Clinical Commissioning Group),
S Neill (Northumbria Police) and D Titterton (Voluntary Sector).

CAB115/11/13 Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest made.

CAB116/11/13 Mr P Melia – Chief Executive

The Mayor welcomed Mr P Melia, the Council's newly appointed Chief Executive to his first Cabinet meeting. Those present were given the opportunity to introduce themselves.

Mr Melia responded appropriately, thanking Cabinet for its welcome and stating that he looked forward to working at North Tyneside as the Council moved forward.

CAB117/11/13 Minutes

Resolved that the Minutes of the meeting held on 14 October 2013, be confirmed.

CAB118/11/13 Report of the Young Mayor

The Young Mayor explained that this was his last Cabinet meeting and he thanked Cabinet for the opportunity of being part of the meetings.

He had enjoyed his term of office and there had been many highlights. He was particularly pleased that he was able to achieve his pledge of ensuring that sexual health services for young people were more accessible.

He had been very involved with the Ban Boredom campaign and had been promoting activities.

He had met and worked alongside many great people during his term of office and looked forward to continuing to work with them in his Youth Council role.

He had been inspired to learn about current provision for young people in North Tyneside and how this could be built upon to improve things further.

The process for electing North Tyneside's 5th Young Mayor continued. The top four candidates shortlisted from the number of on-line votes had been chosen. The second round of voting by paper ballot would start on Monday 18 November 2013, with the result being announced on 22 November 2013. The new Young Mayor would take office on 1 December 2013.

The Young Mayor reported on the following activities in which he and/or Young Cabinet members had been involved:

- North Tyneside's Member of Youth Parliament would attend Westminster on November 15 2013 where the UK Youth Parliament would debate the top five topics which were voted on as part of the Make Your Mark ballot. These were Votes for 16 and 17 year olds in all public elections; Better work experience and careers advice; Combating youth unemployment; Zero tolerance towards bullying in schools; and A curriculum to prepare us for life.
- Working with Show Racism the Red Card as part of their initiative to educate young people and pass on its anti-racism message.
- Official opening of the young people's dance area at the Mega Launch of The Meadows.
- Meeting with young people taking part in the National Citizens Service in Wallsend.
- Attendance at the Remembrance Day service at Whitley Bay, where he had placed a wreath on behalf of the young people in the Borough.

The Mayor thanked the Young Mayor for his report and for the excellent work he had undertaken throughout his term of office and for the impressive manner in which he had carried out the role. She wished him every success for the future.

CAB119/11/13 Street Lighting Review – Report of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee (All Wards)

Cabinet received a report from the Overview and Scrutiny Committee in relation to a review of street lighting undertaken by the Environment and Culture Sub-Committee.

Councillor B Burdis, Chair of Environment and Culture Sub-Committee, was in attendance to present the report.

The Environment and Culture Sub-Committee at their meeting on 12 June 2013 had agreed to set up a Sub-Group to carry out a review of street lighting. The main aim was to explore the different options for street lighting with a view of reducing energy consumption and to achieve efficiency savings. The findings and recommendations would contribute towards the new Lighting Strategy for the Borough.

As a result of the study the Sub-Group had recommended that Cabinet:

- (1) asks the Head of Finance and Commercial Services to explore the three different business models with a view of purchasing and implementing the Mayflower Central Management System across the residential areas of the Borough.
- (2) considers as part of the new lighting strategy to gradually phase in the dimming of street lights in residential areas across the borough to run at 75% power consumption from switch on and then reduce to 60% between midnight and 5.30am, and that street lights are trimmed in the evening and at dawn.

(3) asks SSEC to accelerate the street lighting maintenance cycle so that dimming and trimming is in place across all residential areas in the borough by the end of 2014.

(4) gives further consideration to the option of part night switch off in non-residential areas.

The full report which outlined the key findings, conclusions and recommendations of the review was attached at Appendix 1.

Cabinet was asked to consider the recommendations and was required to provide a response to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee within 2 months.

The Cabinet Member for Housing and Environment thanked the Sub-Group for the report and looked forward to providing a detailed response to Cabinet.

Resolved that the report of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee be accepted and a detailed response to the recommendations be provided at a future Cabinet meeting, no later than January 2013.

CAB120/11/13 Financial Management Report to 30 September 2013 (All Wards)

Cabinet considered a report detailing the budget monitoring position as at 30 September 2013, and included forecast outturn positions for 2013/14 for the General Fund, the Housing Revenue Account (HRA), the Capital Plan, including a summary of schemes delivered and the current Treasury Management and Prudential Indicators position. It also included an update on Schools' finances.

As at 30 September 2013, the forecast year-end position reflected in-year pressures of £0.908m. The forecast reflected the challenging conditions that continued to be faced by councils nationally in managing increased demand in some areas and a reduction in resources from Central Government. Some of the pressure was in respect of CEI savings where activity was underway to deliver savings targets but confirmation of the savings had not yet been secured. Services were developing plans and actions to ensure the budget was brought in on target. These actions included managing non-essential expenditure to ensure all unnecessary spend was avoided, and maximising savings or additional income where possible. Included in the report was provision of £1.4m as the estimated cost of redundancies associated with the HR1 notice, which had recently been issued

The Authority's 2012/13 Annual Financial Report had been approved by Council on 26 September 2013, with an unqualified audit opinion.

The HRA was forecast to have year-end balances at 31 March 2014 of £2.452m, which was £0.716m higher than budget. The higher than forecast balances were mainly as a result of higher opening balances due to the impact of previous years' financial performance (£0.578m).

In relation to schools' finance the current forecast position showed all schools in North Tyneside were broadly performing in line with their original budget plans.

The Capital Plan budget for 2013/14, adjusted for various revisions approved by Cabinet and Council, was £79.257m. Variations of £2.988m (£0.148 credit in 2014/15) and reprogramming of £7.565m in 2013/14 were proposed in the report. The Major Projects Group had undertaken a review of the financing of the 2013/14 Capital Plan as the initial stage of a full review of the 10 year plan. The outcome of the review had been reflected in the variations and reprogramming and full details were shown in section 5 of the annex of the report.

The report also set out some of the achievements in terms of delivery of projects in the first six months of the financial year, as well as summarising the level of spend on projects for the year.

The report also confirmed that all Prudential Indicators had been complied with.

Cabinet considered the following decision options: either to agree the recommendations as set out in section 1.2 of the report, or alternatively to disagree with the proposals.

Resolved that (1) the budget monitoring position, including the application of the Contingency Budget as at 30 September 2013, be noted;
(2) the receipt of new revenue grants, set out in the report, be approved;
(3) the level of spend on the Capital Plan as at 30 September 2013 be noted; and
(4) the variations of £3.136m credit and reprogramming of £7.565m within the Capital Plan, be approved.
(5) the current Treasury Management and Prudential Indicators position, be noted.

(Reason for Decision – It is important that Cabinet continues to monitor performance against the budget, especially given the current level of financial pressures faced by the public sector. The variations to the Capital Plan will reduce the requirement for Council Contribution to the financing of the Capital Plan.)

CAB121/11/13 School Finance (All Wards)

Cabinet considered a report which detailed the financial position of North Tyneside's schools and provided an overview of the variations to the Authority's Local Funding Formula for Schools for the financial year 2014/15.

During 2012/13 overall maintained school balances in North Tyneside had reduced from £6.726m at 31 March 2012 to £6.054m at 31 March 2013. This was reported in the Council's Statement of Accounts for 2012/13 and used in National Government benchmarking.

Although overall balances had reduced during 2012/13 this should not necessarily be considered concerning as the national perspective was that school balances across the country were generally too high and should be invested in supporting children in schools today rather than held in reserve.

As at 31 March 2013 there were 7 schools in deficit. Although the number of schools with a deficit had risen the total balance of those schools with deficits had decreased to £0.075m falling from £0.206m at March 2012 and £0.522m at March 2011.

North Tyneside Council had held a School excess surplus balances policy since March 2007. Whilst it was no longer a mandatory requirement of the Department for Education, in September 2012 the School Forum had agreed to maintain the excess surplus balances policy in North Tyneside.

Excess balances were those uncommitted balances over 5% for middle and secondary schools and over 8% for first, primary and special schools. As at 31 March 2013 there were no schools in North Tyneside considered as having an excess surplus balance. In consultation with the School Forum it had therefore been agreed that there would be no claw back of funds from schools in 2013/14.

An extract of the Section 251 return detailing individual North Tyneside school balances at 31 March 2013 was attached at Appendix B of the report.

Maintained mainstream schools had received their individual budget share (delegated budget) from the Local Authority on 31 January 2013 and submitted their 3 year revenue budget plans (starting 2013/14) by 31 May 2013 as required.

Four schools had requested deficit approval for 2013/14 budgets, an improvement on the seven schools who had made a similar request in 2012/13. The Finance Team, supported by School Improvement and the North Tyneside School Forum had met with these schools in June, providing both challenge and support to each of their budget plans, in order to determine if they may be granted a deficit budget for 2013/14. Deficit approval did not constitute a commitment to provide additional funding over the school's individual budget share, only a recognition that the school needed to temporarily enter into deficit, whilst continuing to balance its financial position over the longer period.

The deficit meetings included consideration of each school's detailed 3 year budget plans, pupil projections, pupil teacher ratios, procurement decisions and financial benchmarking data on all North Tyneside schools. Following this process the four schools had received deficit approval for 2013/14, as detailed in the report. This compared favourably in number with approvals over recent years, although the value of the deficit approved had risen slightly from 2012/13.

In addition to the deficit approval figure another aspect was to ensure the underlying reasons behind the deficit were identified and addressed. This required work from both the school and support from the local authority over the year. As the overall number of schools in North Tyneside with a deficit balance had reduced significantly over the last few years the Authority could now focus more attention and support to those schools remaining in deficit. There was also increased scrutiny from all stakeholders, including the School Forum, in the longer term financial health of the school.

Members had been kept informed regarding the position on 2014/15 schools funding through the Financial Management reports to Cabinet.

The impact of prescribed changes to the mainstream funding formula for 2014/15 announced by the Department of Education was minimal for North Tyneside in that the current formula met most of the new 2014/15 requirements. The tightening of the framework continued the transition towards a national school funding formula.

Changes to the formula were detailed in the report.

It was noted that all mainstream schools would continue to be protected from any significant reduction in funding in 2014/15 through the Minimum Funding Guarantee – meaning no school would lose more than 1.5% per pupil in 2014/15 from their 2013/14 per pupil allocation and the Pupil Premium remained outside of the mainstream funding formula.

There had been no changes required to Early years funding by the DfE/ Education Funding Agency (EFA) and therefore the early years funding formula in North Tyneside for 2014/15 remained unchanged from 2013/14.

Operational guidance for 2014/15 relating to High Needs SEN (Special Educational needs) funding had been issued on 18 July by the EFA. There were limited changes to the funding arrangements for 2014/15 as the DfE looked to let the system settle from the major changes implemented in 2013/14. EFA had stated that they were not planning significant changes to the overall high needs funding system beyond 2014/15, but would need to keep the arrangements under review as the national funding formula for schools was developed.

The key change currently envisaged was that place-led funding in special schools and academies, special units in mainstream schools and academies, post-16 high needs provision, and alternative provision in PRUs (Pupil Referral Units) and academies, would be adjusted automatically on the basis of school and Post 16 provider census data. Submissions from local authorities and institutions would be exceptional.

The key dates which must be met in setting 2014/15 school budgets were detailed in the report. Cabinet would be kept updated through its regular Financial Management reports.

Cabinet considered the following decision options: either to agree the recommendations as set out in section 1.2 of the report, or alternatively to disagree with the proposals.

Resolved that (1) the change in school balances as detailed in the report, be noted; and (2) the Head of Commissioning and Fair Access and the Head of Finance and Commercial Services, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Learning, be authorised to undertake resource allocations to schools for 2014/15 in line with the school funding arrangements set out in this report.

(Reason for decision - the proposed process is compliant with all current relevant legislation and has been established following detailed consultation with North Tyneside's schools.)

CAB122/11/13 Director of Public Health Annual Report (All Wards)

Cabinet considered a report which outlined the Director of Public Health Annual Report for 2012-13.

The Public Health Annual Report was the Director of Public Health's independent, expert assessment of the health of the local population, based on evidence. Whilst the views and contributions of local partners had been taken into account, the assessment and recommendations made in the report were those held by the Director of Public Health and did not necessarily reflect the position of the employing and partner organisations.

The annual report was an important vehicle by which the Director of Public Health could identify key issues, flag problems, report progress and, thereby, service their local populations. It acted as a key resource to inform local, inter-agency action. The annual report remained a key means by which the Director of Public Health was accountable to the population they served.

The review of progress made during 2012-13 demonstrated that while general improvements had been made in relation to some areas – including reducing premature mortality from cardiovascular disease, a reduction in alcohol related hospital admissions and a downward trend in relation to Potential Years of Life Lost from causes considered amenable to health care there were still many areas relating to premature mortality and healthy life expectancy where North Tyneside performed significantly worse than the England average.

By taking effective action across prevention, diagnosis and treatment, there would be a reduction in the numbers of people dying prematurely, and the burden of illness as a whole would be reduced. If this was achieved, the Authority would not simply be supporting people to live longer, but also to improve their quality of life particularly if they were living with long-term conditions.

This would require sustained action across the health and social care sector as well as closer working with communities. Integration would be key in relation to the current financial challenges faced by the public and community and voluntary sectors and would also provide better, seamless services for local people. The report outlined the interventions and actions that would have the greatest impact on inequalities in health in North Tyneside.

The recommendations detailed in the annual report were relevant to a range of agencies and partners but would have specific implications for the associated duties to improve health and reduce health inequalities which fell within the statutory responsibilities of the Authority. The key recommendations for the Council were:

- Work within communities to build resilience and capacity and empower local people
- Carry out Health Impact Assessments and/or Equality Impact Assessments to ensure that strategic decisions and commissioning decisions do not impact adversely on health inequalities
- Develop an approach across the Council in relation to reducing inequalities
- Carry out a health impact assessment in relation to the Local Plan
- Ensure that health at work initiatives reach staff most in need

Cabinet considered the following decision option: to agree the recommendations as set out in section 1.2 of the report.

Resolved that the Director of Public Health's Annual Report, including its recommendations and implications for the Council, be noted.

(Reason for decision – under the Health and Social Care Act 2012 it is a statutory requirement for the Director of Public Health to produce an annual report on the health of the local population and for the Authority to publish it.

CAB123/11/13 North Tyneside Local Plan: The Duty to Co-operate and the Memorandum of Understanding (All Wards)

Cabinet considered a report which detailed the new statutory requirement that all local planning bodies had in relation to the Duty to Co-operate when preparing their Local Plans; the nature of the co-operation and joint working that had been undertaken to date in North Tyneside and the wider sub-region; and the draft Memorandum of Understanding that has been prepared by the seven North East authorities, which set out the formal mechanisms in order to comply with the new duty.

A key stage in the local plan making process was the examination of any local plan by an independent Inspector. Compliance with the Duty was firmly enshrined within the examination stage and was the first issue raised by the Inspector. It was further embedded within the broader "tests of soundness" as to be found sound, the Inspector must be satisfied that the local plan was positively prepared; justified and effective. Other parts of the "tests of soundness" could be repairable where there was some degree of non-compliance.

Failure to demonstrate compliance with the Duty gave the Inspector no alternative but to immediately declare the plan unsound. Several plans had failed for this reason. Inspectors required evidence demonstrating how the above tests had been complied with.

Cross boundary co-operation was required at the earliest opportunity in the plan making process and was then seen as an iterative process. There was "no duty to agree".

The Duty simply required that any matters that had potential cross boundary implications were discussed and appropriately evidenced. It still remained open for an individual council to object to the emerging local plan of another council and these objections would be considered at the examination.

In relation to cross boundary working, to date, there had been a strong record of accomplishment of co-operation between the seven North East councils on both strategic policy issues and establishing consistent methodologies to develop evidence to inform their respective Local Plans.

The Heads of Planning from the 7 authorities (Durham, Gateshead, Newcastle, Durham, North Tyneside, Northumberland, South Tyneside and Sunderland) met regularly to help progress the issues likely to be considered under the Duty.

Cross boundary meetings in respect to common local plan issues had long been held at officer level on an informal basis with adjoining authorities. Now the Duty was in place, a Local Plan Officer Working Group for the North of Tyne authorities (i.e. North Tyneside, Newcastle and Northumberland) had met on a regularly and formalised basis since August 2012. Similar arrangements were in place for the South of Tyne authorities.

The level of joint working had varied depending on the nature of the issue, in some cases this had involved all North East authorities, or just the Tyne and Wear authorities or just on a one to one basis.

Through the Planning Heads of Service Group, a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) and governance structure had been developed. The MOU was essentially operational in nature and was not legally binding.

The MOU had been prepared to:

- Set out how each authority would comply with the duty;
- Clarify and record responsibilities of the authorities, both individually and collectively; and
- Provide clear guidelines for joint working in accordance with the governance arrangements set out in the MOU.

The MOU and governance structure was set out at Appendix 1 and built on existing co-operation and structures. It was intended that the Planning Heads of Service Group would be the mechanism to co-operate on strategic priority issues and would be the conduit for reporting their discussions and agreed actions to each Authority and other groups as detailed in the report.

In the event that issues between authorities could not be resolved by the Planning Heads of Service Group, the MOU set in place a mechanism by which the matters would be referred to the Chief Executives Group and further referred to the Leaders and Elected Mayors Groups if the matter could not be satisfactorily resolved.

Drafts of the MOU along with position statements outlining current evidence and strategic issues had been considered and agreed by the Chief Executives Group and the Leaders and Elected Mayors Group. It had been agreed that the 7 Authorities would sign off the MOU through their respective Cabinet / Executive committees.

Cabinet consider the following decision options:

Option 1 – approve the recommendations contained in paragraph 1.2 of the Report.
Option 2 – approve the Memorandum of Understanding but with modifications to the document.

Option 3 – reject the recommendations contained in paragraph 1.2 of the Report, and refer its content to officers for further consideration.

Resolved that the Memorandum of Understanding be approved as the appropriate mechanism for considering cross boundary issues in order to comply with the Duty to Co-operate.

(Reason for decision – Developing a Memorandum of Understanding is advocated by the Planning Inspectorate as being an important tool in order to demonstrate compliance with the Duty to Co-operate at the Local Plan Examination.)

CAB124/11/13 Relocation and Expansion of Backworth Primary School (Killingworth and Valley Wards)

Cabinet considered a report which detailed proposals to expand and relocate Backworth Park Primary School to accommodate local increase in pupil population as a consequence of existing and newly approved residential developments and to explore future use or disposal of the existing Backworth Park Primary School site as a consequence of the relocation of the school.

The Authority's Planning Committee, at its meeting held on 9 October 2013, had approved the outline planning application for the development of new residential accommodation and a new school building in the area known as West Shiremoor.

The Duke of Northumberland had been working for some time on proposals to develop land to the west of Shiremoor, east of the A19, to create 590 new homes and a site for the relocation and expansion of Backworth Park Primary School, as a 1.5 form entry school. Officers from the Authority had been working with the Duke in relation to the potential pupil yield from the approved housing developments and the impact thereon to the schools in the locality.

The birth rate within the Authority's area had risen in recent years, particularly in areas of high development, such as the Holystone and West Allotment areas. These areas were currently served by:

- Backworth Park Primary School – 1 form entry school (up to 210 pupils across 7 year groups)
- Holystone Primary School – 2 form entry school (up to 420 pupils across 7 year groups)
- Shiremoor Primary School – 2 form entry school (up to 420 pupils across 7 year groups)

In September 2012, there were more first choice applications for Holystone Primary School than the school would generally admit (78 applications for 60 places). The school had agreed to allow a single year 'bulge' year group, allocating specialist curriculum space to increase the class bases from 14 to 15 spaces. This was not a solution that could work in future years, as the school had no additional physical capacity available for conversion.

As a general principle, it was accepted that primary schools in North Tyneside would not exceed 2 forms of entry, being 60 pupils per year group, on the basis of acceptable size of school, size of site and management of the delivery of education.

Cabinet

Based on the formula used by the Council for calculating pupil yield for new housing, the calculation of the new developments indicated that there would be sufficient impact upon the local education community to require the addition of a single form of entry to serve the locality. Accordingly, Section 106 contributions had been sought and approved as part of each planning application.

Of the local schools to the area, it was assessed that neither Shiremoor Primary School nor Holystone Primary School had either the ability to expand beyond 2 form entry based upon the Authority best practice or sufficient space within their sites to allow expansion.

Backworth Park Primary School was currently located within the village to the north of the Miners Welfare/Golf Club. The school had not benefitted from the increased pupil population in the locality as a result of recent housing developments. The school was small and did not have the benefit of a playing field or alternative suitable outdoor facilities. It was therefore determined that the most appropriate solution would be the relocation and expansion of the school.

The Authority had a statutory responsibility to ensure that there was a sufficient supply of good school places which:

- Enabled children and young people to achieve high standards of educational excellence;
- Met the needs of vulnerable learners;
- Ensured fair access to educational opportunity; and
- Promoted diversity and parental choice within an area.

In fulfilling these responsibilities, the Authority should work collaboratively with schools to:

- Deliver a strong education system that would enable all children and young people to achieve their potential and develop the skills and attributes they needed for life and work in the 21st century;
- Ensure that every child and young person in North Tyneside could attend a good school in which they experienced excellent teaching and
- Realised the potential of education to equalise life chances and therefore to close the attainment gap for children and young people who faced social and economic disadvantage.

The landowner was currently seeking to appoint developers to the land and it was anticipated that works on the sites would commence within the coming year, with an expected build out of 60 dwellings per year.

As a new build solution, it had been agreed that the new school facilities should be delivered early in the development, both to enable the early relocation of the school and also act as focal point of the whole development. It was therefore proposed to provide the new accommodation for occupancy with effect from September 2016.

Cabinet considered the following decision options: either to agree the recommendations as set out in section 1.2 of the report, or alternatively to disagree with the proposals.

Resolved that (1) the pressures that will be placed upon primary provision in the area of West Shiremoor, Backworth, West Allotment and Holystone areas as a consequence of existing approvals of new housing developments and the increase in birth rate within the locality, be noted; and

(2) the proposal to enter pre-publication consultation with the local schools, community and other interested parties in respect of the expansion of Backworth Park Primary School from a 1 form entry to 1.5 form entry school and the relocation of the school to a new site with effect from September 2016, be approved.

(Reason for decision - it allows the Local Authority to commence a pre-publication consultation surrounding the proposals for this development.

CAB125/11/13 Exclusion Resolution

Resolved that under Section 100A (4) of the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended) and having applied a public interest test as defined in Part 3 of Schedule 12A of the Act, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Paragraph 2 and 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Act.

CAB126/11/13 Garage Blocks at Oaktree Gardens. Whitley Bay (Monkseaton South Ward)

Cabinet considered a report which requested approval to the disposal of the Authority owned Garage Blocks at Oaktree Gardens, Whitley Bay. Any capital receipts obtained would contribute towards funding the Housing Revenue Account Capital Plan.

Cabinet consider the following decision options:

Option 1 – to approve the sale of all of the Garage Blocks at Oaktree Gardens Whitley Bay to the person detailed in the report, on the agreed terms and conditions.

Option 2 – not to approve the sale of the Garage Blocks at Oaktree Gardens Whitley Bay and retain them within Council ownership.

Resolved that (1) the Garage Blocks at Oaktree Gardens Whitley Bay, as shown in bold on the plan attached to the report, be declared surplus to Council requirements; (2) disposal of the Garage Blocks at Oaktree Gardens, Whitley Bay by private treaty subject to the provisionally agreed terms and conditions detailed in the report, be approved; and (3) the Client Manager - Property be authorised to deal with all ancillary matters arising that are consistent with the preceding recommendations.

(Reason for Decision - this will provide a capital receipt to support the HRA Capital Plan 2013/14 and will improve the appearance of the garage blocks and the area in general.)

CAB127/11/13 Corporate Risk Management Summary Report (All Wards)

Cabinet considered a report which detailed the corporate risks that had been identified for monitoring and management by the Council's Senior Leadership Team (SLT) and relevant Cabinet Members. The report provided detailed information on each risk and how this was being managed.

Cabinet considered the following decision options:

Option 1 - endorse the outcome of the latest review by SLT.

Option 2 – suggest changes to the corporate risks and their controls.

Resolved that the latest review of key corporate risks undertaken by the Senior Leadership Team, be endorsed.

Cabinet

(Reason for Decision – Each of the corporate risks has undergone substantial review and challenge as part of the corporate risk management process. This is designed to provide assurance that corporate risks and opportunities are being identified and appropriately managed.)

CAB128/11/13 Date and Time of Next Meetings

6.00pm on Monday 25 November 2013 (Extraordinary Meeting).

6.00pm on Monday 9 December 2013 (Ordinary Meeting).

Minutes published on Thursday 14 November 2013.

The decisions contained within these Minutes may be implemented (unless called in by 3 Non-Executive Members for consideration by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee) immediately following the expiry of the call-in period; ie 5.00pm on 21 November 2013.