

	<b>Consultee</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Response</b>
1	Resident 1	I support the principles and the overall desire to protect and enhance the built environment within the conservation area as set out in this plan, however I think that the plan woefully neglects the natural environment. There is no attempt to consider sites, habitats and species of local importance or designations for nature conservation and no mention of planting native species when tree planting etc. I find this disappointing. It also is a bit weak on environmental enhancements and the potential impacts of climate and the need for the area to adapt. There will be more weather events affecting the beaches and the estuary.	Reference added to page 22 about the area's biodiversity but this document is not the place for guiding the management of those sites. North Tyneside Council has a Biodiversity Action Plan and a suite of relevant policies within the UDP and emerging Local Plan Consultation Draft (Nov 2013) that set out the management of the borough's natural environment. North Tyneside Council has an adopted Sustainable Design and Construction Guide that is of relevance to tackling climate change in the development process.
2	Resident 2	Agree that stronger planning management is needed in the Tynemouth Village Conservation area. Most businesses appear to be successful & there is a danger of too many "copy-cat" businesses setting up. Some times it is very crowded & it can be hard to appreciate the charm of the Village.	Noted. The Regeneration section of the document looks to manage a balance of uses in the Village as much as it is possible through the planning system.
3	Resident 2	I would go as far as to say that Tynemouth is a unique & very special place and when I show it to my visitors who have maybe never heard of it they all love it. The difficult part, as has been seen in Whitley Bay, is to get an agreed view of what people want to see in the future in terms of development .	Noted.
4	Resident 2	I am sure that local people & businesses will want to be involved in shaping future change in the area.	Noted.
5	Resident 2	the existing 2003 & 2010 studies are a good starting point. Agree that boundaries should be changed as stated in 3.3.3 statements 1,2,3. Extension ref 4a is a good idea; not so sure about 4b.Dont think streets mentioned in 5 are distinctive enough to be included in Conservation area.	Noted.
6	Resident 2	Agree that local historical research should be encouraged . Facebook groups already in existence promoting memories and discussion. Is there any possibility of local business to provide tourist/heritage information? How well is the tourist office in Whitley Bay used?	Tourist Information Centres at Royal Quays Outlet Centre and in Whitley Bay town centre are open seven days a week and provide a wide range of information. In the Village, the Library offers a range of tourist and heritage information.
7	Resident 2	Access to the beach is difficult for the elderly & disabled. Any possibility of a lift?	Improvements currently being made to ramp access down to the beach.

8	Resident 2	Don't feel that a play area like the one in Cullercoats is necessary. The Park is much improved & hopefully so will Northumberland Park be in terms of providing play space & green amenity.	The document has been amended to include suggestion of an outdoor gym, skate park or other suitable exercise facility more for older children. There is considered sufficient provision in the wider area for younger children.
9	Resident 2	Good luck with any redevelopment of the Outdoor Pool but where will the money come from?	Friends of Tynemouth Outdoor Pool are pursuing a range of sources.
10	Resident 2	Illegal parking outside the Co-op makes it difficult to cross Front street sometimes.	It is noted that this area is marked up for loading only. Any breaches are for parking enforcement officers to pursue. Unfortunately, this is beyond the remit of this document.
11	Resident 2	Heritage lead regeneration must be encouraged.	Noted.
12	Resident 2	Parts of the seafront are a real mess (railings, bins in particular ) & more flowers would be nice overlooking the bays. The walk along the river to the Fish Quay is a fantastic asset.	Noted. Document calls for improved and well-managed public realm. Improvements are currently underway at Long Sands.
13	Resident 2	Agree that facilities at both beaches need improving.	Noted.
14	Resident 2	Traffic management & parking needs review. Permit parking designation very confusing.	Noted. Document calls for a comprehensive, renewed traffic and parking strategy to be prepared by the relevant team. Unfortunately any parking changes are beyond the remit of this document.
15	Resident 2	Street surface enhancement would give a more cohesive character to the village.	Noted.
16	Resident 2	We seem to have been talking about the horrible Co-op frontage for ages- can they be forced/encouraged to change it?	Co-op frontage is included within document as an enhancement opportunity, which will serve as encouragement and guidance. Co-op representatives have been involved in the preparation of the draft document.
17	Resident 2	Thankfully there are few vacant sites; if No 35 Front street is redeveloped could the Library be extended? Could better use be made of Camera House above the Library?	It is difficult to ascertain what the future uses of these buildings could be, however the document does make some suggestions.
18	Resident 2	Does the Council have sufficient resource to monitor & enforce action against unsuitable development/decay.	There are two Planning Enforcement Officers; the input of the public in reporting suspected breaches is helpful.
19	Resident 2	Better Beach facilities & a lift urgently needed.	Improved beach facilities are championed in the document, and improvements currently being made to ramp access down to the beach.
20	Resident 2	If the Pool was redeveloped would it be a private/public partnership? In view of the current stormy weather in other parts of the country currently is it a realistic idea?	Proposals for the Pool are still in the process of being drawn up.
21	Resident 2	Very pleased to hear of improvements to the ramp to Long Sands.	Noted.

22	Resident 2	Priors Haven is a mess.	The document calls for improvements in this area.
23	Resident 2	Howl lngs totally unsuitable as a place for caravan/camping.	The idea of a potential Caravan Park at Howl lngs was included within a Coastal Regeneration Framework prepared three years ago. All things considered, including the heritage and biodiversity value of the area, it is our opinion that a caravan site would not be appropriate here and will be removing the reference from the document.
24	Resident 2	Agree that more could be done to enhance Prior's Park.	Noted.
25	Resident 2	Improved paths & crossings must be encouraged.	Noted.
26	Resident 2	A Road Train could work well.	Noted.
27	Resident 2	Another supermarket (what has happened to the one planned for the Station?) would relieve some pressure on the Co-op which is used mainly as a top-up shop.	A permission was granted for a retail store in the Station. That permission has now lapsed but it is understood that a new application may be submitted in the future.
28	Resident 2	Agree that the 306 could be re-routed away from Front street. A shared space approach sounds encouraging but is there too much traffic for it to be safe?	Shared surface schemes have worked in highly trafficked areas. Any scheme would be subject to a full assessment by Highway engineers.
29	Resident 2	On the whole it seems a sensible strategy. My main concern is funding for improvements to green areas near beaches, paving etc.	Noted.
30	Resident 2	Lack of parking is an issue partly because people are lazy & perceive Oxford street CP to be too far away.	Noted. A reference has been added to the document that calls for legible yet sensitive signage directing drivers to other car parks in the area such as at Oxford Street.
31	Resident 2	I wish the Council well in its efforts to enhance the delights of Tynemouth.	Noted.
32	Resident 3	Excellent document	Noted.
33	Resident 4	Chapter 1: agree with it in its entirety.	Noted.
34	Resident 4	Chapter 2: agree with it in full.	Noted.
35	Resident 4	Chapter 3: All relevant areas covered as I see it. I agree with it.	Noted.
36	Resident 4	Chapter 4: All relevant areas covered as I see it. I agree with it.	Noted.
37	Resident 4	Chapter 5: I support the scheme to enhance what is there already.	Noted.
38	Resident 4	any other comments: I support it.	Noted.
39	Resident 5	A very noticeable blight on streets within the conservation area is the large number of estate agent placards. In some cases these signs are left permanently erected as a form of free advertising (on properties owned or managed by the agent). In conservation areas in other parts of Britain the local authority has banned these placards. I suggest NTC consider introducing similar bylaws as in the age of the internet "for sale" signs are no longer a requirement for the housing market.	Estate agents board issue now mentioned in public realm and enforcement section, which urges action against unauthorised adverts and better consideration from those advertising.

40	Resident 5	<p>Pier Cottage was a viable residence when it was purchased circa 2007 by property speculators. When the new owners failed to get permission for commercial use they abandoned development and maintenance of the property. I think it would be an outrage for the council to now assist these profit seekers whose greed and ineptitude have all but destroyed this prominent historic building. If the owners fail to act I suggest the correct course would be to use the applicable law to force their hand. Failing that a compulsory purchase order should be obtained.</p>	<p>The document does not set out that the Council would assist the owners of Pier Cottage. For clarity have removed the line "for public use". The Planning Enforcement team are investigating the current condition of the building.</p>
41	Resident 5	<p>The biggest issue for residents who live near Front Street is the sad fact that this area is effectively a no-go area most evenings. Noise, anti-social behaviour and vandalism late at night is commonplace. There are too many licensed premises, opening too late, attracting too many "binge drinkers". This is completely out of character with a residential conservation area. After the council extended the licensed opening hours in 2007 (without any consultation with residents whatsoever) the situation has gone from bad to worse. Just to "aim to avoid further proliferation of late licenses and off-licensed premises" is NOT going to be sufficient to "control it as a safe and attractive area for all ages at any time of day or night". The council need to choose if they want to continue to support Front Street as a regional late night binge drinking destination or a family/resident friendly conservation area. Sadly, given the large revenue they receive from bars and public houses nothing will change for the better.</p>	<p>The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area and calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy. The Licensing team are undertaking their consultation on this matter now. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.</p>
42	Resident 6	<p>Enhancement opportunity - Priors Haven, In terms of enhancement for Tynemouth village, the sailing club, local boat users and visiting yachts. The haven is a natural anchorage and whilst a full scale marina would be ideal, it may be cost prohibitive at this time. However, the haven would certainly benefit from "marina style" floating pontoons which would allow visiting yachts to anchor overnight and take advantage of the village facilities. Given the facilities are within walking distance of the haven, I'm certain the anchorage would be welcomed and quickly adopted by the growing number of sailing enthusiasts.</p>	<p>In discussion with a representative of the Sailing Club it has been suggested that floating pontoons would not be suitable here, being likely to blow away in high winds.</p>

43	Resident 6	<p>4.2 - Regeneration, sport &amp; leisure. Seafield has been designated an open space but is used on an informal basis by children of all ages and indeed adults for sport &amp; leisure activities. The activities are generally confined to the summer months and include cricket, rounders, football, picnics etc. I feel it would be in the best interests of the village if such activities on Seafield were allowed to continue on an informal basis. It would be inappropriate for Seafield to lose the open space designation and be turned into a formal play area with the erection of permanent fixtures such as swings, roundabouts, slides, bench seats etc.</p>	<p>The Seafield is not mentioned as a potential play area. Text has been amended to make this clearer.</p>
44	<p>Tourism Officer, North Tyneside Council</p>	<p>P53 – Cycling. The Grand Hotel are looking to promote themselves as a “cycle friendly” destination at the end of the C2C cycle route. In agreement with Jane Allison, Graeme Clarke &amp; Sustrans they are planning on installing a sign outside the hotel front (on their land). They will be adopting the same design principles as the coastal heritage signs (including the one directly opposite the hotel) and are looking at Border Signs and Graphics who produced the originals to do the sign. The sign will include route information and other information useful for cyclists as identified as a priority in the draft. The Grand Hotel is also looking to join the cyclists and walkers scheme which is something nationally graded properties (it is 3* hotel designated) can do. It means they will provide additional facilities and services to walkers and cyclists e.g. secure cycle storage for overnight visitors, a drying room, route information etc.</p>	<p>These proposals are in line with what the document encourages, and are seen as positive for the area.</p>
45	<p>Tourism Officer, North Tyneside Council</p>	<p>Graeme Clarke [Rights of Way Officer] is also looking at signage on the approach from the promenade to the junction of Pier Road and Front Street, outside Tynemouth Priory &amp; Castle. This will include the removal of two signs and the installation of new ones. Graeme is also looking at cycle storage racks in Front Street and environs.</p>	<p>These proposals are in line with what the document encourages.</p>

46	Tourism Officer, North Tyneside Council	P47 – Beaches. You may want to include an updated statistic about beach users rather than one from 2007: In 2013 87.1% of visitors were either aware of and/or had used North Tyneside beaches (source: North Tyneside Council annual visitor survey carried out in July/August 2013 by NWA Research).	Up-to-date statistic included, thank you.
47	Tourism Officer, North Tyneside Council	P48 – Outdoor Pool. I understand (via Marcus Jackson) that Tynemouth Pool is a fundamental part of the sea defence at the southern end of Longsands and any development would need to take this factor into consideration. Not sure if this needs to be referenced or not?	Reference added.
48	Living Streets Tyneside	Living Streets Tyneside is a voluntary group that campaigns for safe attractive and enjoyable streets, aiming to protect and improve conditions for walking. We are supported by Living Streets the National Charity. Tynemouth has a very attractive central area that could be much improved. It represents a lost opportunity and is a good example of the way in which the access and parking needs of people travelling by private car have been given a higher priority than the quality of the public realm.	Noted.
49	Living Streets Tyneside	This has happened gradually over many years leading to the belief that this is acceptable, normal and is rightfully available to the car user. It is becoming increasingly recognised, however, that improving the quality of the public realm by removing the impacts of car traffic will help to boost the local economy as well as providing many other benefits that are well-established (e.g. environmental, social, and health benefits).	Noted.

50	Living Streets Tyneside	Examples of the ways in which embracing the Living Streets approach could improve Tynemouth are given in two recent Living Streets reports. Our 2013 report <i>the pedestrian pound: the business case for better streets and places</i> gives good examples of the economic benefits of this approach and our 2012 report <i>making the case for investment in the walking environment</i> gives evidence for the social, environmental, economic, and health benefits of a better walking environment. (Both reports are being submitted together with these comments). This evidence base can be added to locally by the successes of the Mouth of the Tyne Festival in using Front Street and attracting many visitors and their spending power. This shows the potential of Front Street beyond its use as a car park.	Noted.
51	Living Streets Tyneside	Living Streets Tyneside would like to see: - A greater emphasis on public transport access to Tynemouth and nearby attractions. This could include a bus shuttle service to take people along the coast to St Mary's Lighthouse and vice versa.	A coastal road train or similar is noted in the document as a potential opportunity.
52	Living Streets Tyneside	- Improvements to the walking routes from Tynemouth Metro to Front Street and the seafront as suggested in our Metro Survey report.	Supported in document.
53	Living Streets Tyneside	- More events that involve using Front Street for market and festival purposes.	Festivals and markets are primarily supported in the Station, but Front St is occasionally put forward as a site for such events, e.g. see under "Street Theatre".
54	Living Streets Tyneside	- A strategy to improve the public realm in Front Street by removing car parking places and making the space over to pedestrian, market and leisure purposes.	Supported in document.
55	Living Streets Tyneside	- Measures being taken to reduce traffic speeds through Tynemouth. At present it appears that the 20mph limit is not being observed by many drivers.	Ways in which to slow traffic are supported in document. Breaching the speed limit is a criminal offence that needs to be investigated by the police.
56	Living Streets Tyneside	We believe that these measures will help to make Tynemouth more attractive as a place to live, work, shop, and visit with all of the associated economic and other benefits.	Noted.

57	Regeneration Officer, North Tyneside Council	Longsands South: • The proposal for a replacement building, adjacent to Crusoe's café is single storey, with a small first floor observation tower unit to accommodate the RNLI life guards who operate on the beach. Proposals include for public toilet and shower facilities. • The proposed improvements to the access ramp down to the beach is mainly maintenance, but does include for turning head to assist traffic movement and remove conflict with pedestrians at the bottom of the ramp. Resurfacing of the footpath and road, like for like materials with replacement reclaimed granite kerb edge, introducing natural crossing points for pedestrians by highlighting in a different colour finish. Replacing railings on the access ramp with a conservation style railing based on existing.	Noted.
58	Regeneration Officer, North Tyneside Council	Road Train: • We are about to carry out a soft market testing exercise for a road train or similar facility to run along the coast line, which could run from Tynemouth to Whitley Bay and possibly extend to the Fish Quay. Proposals must include for well thought out pick up and drop off points.	Noted.
59	Regeneration Officer, North Tyneside Council	Signage: new visitor signage to direct visitors to/around attractions in Tynemouth being developed	Noted.
60	Resident 7	Page 4 - 1.2 line 3, 1st para: 'Some areas are in a state of relative economic decline. while on page 18, 4.21 para 3, line 1 'Tynemouth Village has not suffered economic decline'	Para 1.2 is referring to all conservation areas - not Tynemouth Village in particular. However, to avoid confusion, the reference has been deleted.
61	Resident 7	Page 19 Sport & Leisure in the box. para 2, line 2, could we say, changing amenities as at the Panama Club, rather than like the Panama Club	Amended.
62	Resident 7	Outdoor Pool, would it be better to say This unresolved eyesore..... rather than The Village's main	Amended.
63	Resident 7	Page 19, para 5 re play facilities, is this true? Others say there are plenty of play facilities, could it be re-worded?	It is considered that there is sufficient coverage in the wider area for younger children. A suggestion in the document has been included for older children's facilities, as a low priority.
64	Resident 7	the description of Priors Park, it should say that it is a Private Park, not open to the Public, and is the sports field for KSP school, and also used by fee paying Private Members.	Amended.



65	Resident 7	page 23 Mature Trees... more recent planting along the riverside has been less successful, where about is this? If it is the Lower Prom, it was Northumberland Water who planted along their water pipe, only to the end of the pipe and not beyond, so it could look as if there had been trees further along which had since failed. The tree planting along here has been seen as being very successful.	To avoid confusion, the reference has been removed.
66	Regeneration Officer, North Tyneside Council	Something worth really emphasising is the importance of cross departmental discussions when work is proposed in the conservation area. This is with particular reference to Highways works which very often don't require planning permission. It's vital to note that whilst involvement could bring about better design and materials, given the nature of highways and other works it cannot always be ensured due to costs and practicalities.	Noted.2.2.4 "Corporate Approach" covers this point. Use of the word "integrates" recognises that all relevant factors (e.g. costs, practicalities, character, appearance) need to be considered together to reach the best outcome.
67	Resident 8	Once more I am appalled when I see the map. I live on Denwick Terrace, all of us are angry we are not included in this, indeed the map actively excludes us. However land in North Shields is included. How can Northumberland Park, the Tynemouth Lodge etc be included when we are not? I live near to Tynemouth station, my house is 110 years old and is in Tynemouth. The park and the Lodge are not. I have complained to this so called committee, obviously ignored, who gave them the right to exclude us? I will object and take this as far as I can, all I have spoken to on the estate agree.	Thank you for your email, which I presume is in reference to the current consultation on the Draft Management Strategy for Tynemouth Village Conservation Area. The Management Strategy can not designate or amend the boundaries of a conservation area, so the boundary you see has not been set as part of this project. Local Authorities designate conservation areas following a particular set of legislation that are beyond the scope of this document. Conservation areas are "areas of special architectural or historic interest, the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance". They are designated by local authorities. The boundaries of conservation areas do not delineate geographical boundaries. Nor is it a measure of age.

			<p>The Tynemouth Village Conservation Area was designated by North Tyneside Council in 1971. The boundary that you see is the existing conservation area boundary that was reviewed and amended by North Tyneside Council in September 2009. It has not been prepared as part of the Management Strategy project. It is in 2009 that Northumberland Park and some surrounding buildings/spaces were added within the boundary. At that time, your estate was looked at for possible inclusion but a decision was made not to include it; this is not to say it is of low quality but that it was considered that it was of a nature where the argument of local distinctiveness couldn't be justified at that time. This was agreed by elected Members at Council Cabinet, where the decision was made.</p> <p>A community group have prepared the Draft Management Strategy and as you are aware they have already undertaken some consultation. They have taken the feedback to that consultation on board when preparing this Draft, and you may be pleased to note that under 3.3 of the Draft Management Strategy they raise the potential of your estate being included within the boundary and call for investigations into whether it has sufficient special interest to be considered. I hope this will assure you that your input has not been ignored.</p>
68	Tynemouth Photographic Society	The only problem Tynemouth Photographic Society has in Tynemouth is the lack of parking. Since the changes made to car parking in Front Street, and adjoining residential streets, we find it extremely difficult to park near to the club rooms. Some of our members are elderly and not very mobile so this is a problem for us.	Document calls for a parking strategy to be prepared and implemented.

69	Resident 9	2.2.2 Objectives - seem acceptable as expressed but in the document itself there seems to be rather too much effort to facilitate proposals which would benefit private business more than the wider community. An example would be the proposal for a road train. This I believe should not be a proposal incorporated in a CA document. Running such an operation would be a matter for a private operation and am doubtful that it would be desirable. If Tynemouth wants to build more tourism it will need to rely on quality rather than quantity – the numbers visiting are unlikely ever to return to the levels of even the 1960s. An initiative like a road train would require much higher levels of visitor numbers which I do not think would benefit the conservation area.	The proposals were drawn up by the community, aimed to benefit all. The road train idea is seen as way to possibly reduce private vehicle movement along the coast and improve visitor experience. The Regeneration team are currently looking into the possibility.
70	Resident 9	Another issue is the proposal for additional building on Long Sands. One of the reasons that the beach scores so highly is that it is unspoilt. Adding more buildings will damage that key attractor.	Proposed building would replace that currently existing.
71	Resident 9	3.3.3 Implementation. STATEMENT: BOUNDARY REVIEW. I am puzzled by the written proposals which don't seem to match the map at the end. More extensive would be desirable, for example including the seafront boating lake and park. Additionally there are some attractive 1930s houses behind the Broadway which could usefully be included in order to prevent the type of cumulative damage identified elsewhere and for cohesiveness it would be logical to include also the streets off Mariner's Lane which are also attractive in their own style. It is important to remember that suburban housing is of visual value as well as the older buildings.	The map at the end is the current boundary; this section discusses possible changes to that boundary when the Council next review it.
72	Resident 9	3.5.3 Implementation STATEMENT: RESEARCH AND INTERPRETATION It may be helpful to take inspiration from the Highgate Society which has created a number of walks leaflets - available printed or online <a href="http://www.highgatesociety.com/pages/walks-and-talks.php">http://www.highgatesociety.com/pages/walks-and-talks.php</a> . An example is <a href="http://www.highgatesociety.com/media/docs/North%20Hill%20descriptions.pdf">http://www.highgatesociety.com/media/docs/North%20Hill%20descriptions.pdf</a> which highlights buildings of note along with interesting facts. One or two such leaflets about Tynemouth would support local and visitor interest. It is possible that EH would support.	Noted.

73	Resident 9	<p>As above, I am concerned about 4.2.3 Implementation STATEMENT: REGENERATION The Council will: 5. Pay particular attention to (a) sport and leisure, (b) heritage sites, and (c) Front Street, seeking to join up regeneration and visitor sites and facilities to create a 'critical mass' of economic benefit for the conservation area.</p> <p>I believe the word 'create' should be altered to 'support'. Tynemouth is not at the point of requiring government intervention and there is a danger that such intervention could do more harm than good. Traditionally the strength of government is to monitor and nudge and that is what is needed here.</p>	"create" changed to "support"
74	Resident 9	<p>4. Consider the implementation of a Cumulative Impact Policy in order to better manage late night establishments and associated anti-social behaviour. This would be very welcome but might also apply to any attempt to turn the village into a major tourist destination. The residents would not welcome that</p>	Tynemouth Village is already considered a tourist destination; proposals in this document aim to improve facilities and character for tourists and locals.
75	Resident 9	<p>Public realm management guidelines should probably be amended to include the existence of pre 18th century buildings among the later ones in the village.</p>	Reference added.
76	Resident 9	<p>5.4 Tynemouth Outdoor Pool. Reinstatement of the pool is a nice idea – but the proposal does not appear to be very satisfactory. While modern building can enhance conservation areas, the current images suggest something with nothing in common and no recognition of with the original early deco style, visible in the fencing for example. If the pool is going to be reinstated it should almost certainly start by looking at what was there originally. The proposed glass will suffer a great deal of sea spray and will therefore look almost permanently grubby. A more resilient set of buildings better reflecting the original style would be more desirable – particularly given the conservation area. The beach huts at Whitley Bay are a good example of where a period feel can add significantly to the atmosphere.</p>	The document calls for any proposals for the Pool to be consistent with its Art Deco design. Proposals for the Pool are still in formulation.

77	Resident 9	The reintroduction of detailing like the swimming pool fountain seen on this page <a href="http://www.theguardian.com/uk/the-northerner/2012/mar/19/localgovernment-newcastle-tynewmouth-heritage-panels-coast-north-shields">http://www.theguardian.com/uk/the-northerner/2012/mar/19/localgovernment-newcastle-tynewmouth-heritage-panels-coast-north-shields</a> would add character and entertainment for children who particularly enjoyed it. And it would be appropriate for the buildings created against the cliff be in better accordance with the squared off original design.	The document calls for any proposals for the Pool to be consistent with its Art Deco design. Proposals for the Pool are still in formulation.
78	Resident 9	Long Sand. Great care needs to be exercised in adding additional buildings to the beach, particularly anything as big as a two-storey one. The attraction of the beach is that it is not developed and if the proposed facilities are genuinely needed, the removal of the one-storey building above the beach should be proposed so as not to increase the amount of built space.	Noted.
79	Resident 9	Play Area Given the weather conditions at Sharpness Point this is not a good location for a play area. The old putting area is little better now it is no longer protected by the Plaza building. If a play area is required a more sheltered location is needed. The park immediately behind would be one option. While Sharpness Point lacks 'identify' in the words of the document, a solution might be for it to revert to a wild area, kept safe by attractive fencing. This would be appropriate as it is not unknown for the rocks below to be used for unsafe scrambling activities.	It is considered that there is sufficient coverage in the wider area for younger children. A suggestion in the document has been included for older children's facilities, as a low priority. Sharpness Point no longer mentioned as a potential site.
80	Resident 9	Tynemouth Priory and Castle If no use can be found for the coastguard centre now would be the time to remove this rather unattractive and now disused building which interferes with the appearance of the land around the priory.	This is included within the document.
81	Resident 9	Road Train This does not seem an appropriate inclusion in a report on a conservation area. Indeed, there is some doubt that a road train would be appropriate at all in an area like Tynemouth which for economic success will need to focus on quality of visitors rather than quantity. I would therefore urge that mention of this be removed from the document and feature only in a separate traffic plan for the area.	The proposals were drawn up by the community, aimed to benefit all. The road train idea is seen as way to possibly reduce private vehicle movement along the coast and improve visitor experience. The Regeneration team are currently looking into the possibility.

82	Resident 9	5.6 ENHANCEMENT OPPORTUNITY: No.35 Front Street This would be an opportunity to seek a world class piece of architecture for the street which meshes with it's surroundings while also enhancing with a modern sensibility. This would be a less intrusive way of contributing high calibre art than seeking a separate and freestanding art work.	The document puts forward an approach that would see the sweep of Front Street completed through a three-storey townhouse style building. However, good quality modern design would not necessarily be discouraged, as is stated within the Design section.
83	Resident 9	ENHANCEMENT OPPORTUNITY: Other Opportunities. The TA/Army Reserve site Given the potential for damage caused by inappropriate redevelopment of this site it would be wise for this policy to stipulate uses and styles for any potential redevelopment. This would prevent for example a supermarket led project or anything which is too large. For example a sentence could be inserted after "of Tynemouth Road. Longer term, the future of the site as an Army Reserve base is unknown. If it was ever to close, then the implications of such a large development site being released in the heart of the conservation area should be fully investigated." saying: A large retail unit would not be acceptable here because of the damage it would cause to the main shopping area, and in accordance with the conservation area guidelines, any new building would need to reflect the existing structures and offer a design which positively enhanced the CA.	An amended version of the suggested insertion has been included. However, as this is not a Development Plan Document, its weight in dictating what uses are and are not suitable are limited. We must also remember that there is nothing at present to suggest that the TA are considering vacating their site.
84	Resident 9	Tynemouth is very dominantly a residential area. While it is important to retain the High Street and as such promote measures which could help retain retail activities, it is much less clear that promoting measures for greater economic activity will benefit Tynemouth as a whole rather than individual businesses. It should therefore be clarified that no measures for economic enhancement would be permitted which would damage the wider area. This would for example help a great deal in preventing applications for excessive night time activity or daytime use which would attract unsustainable numbers of visitors, causing increased difficulties with parking.	Existing planning policies are in place that ensure residential amenity. Objectives are included in this document that aim to ensure a better balance of night time establishments and late licences. A parking strategy is supported in the document.
85	Resident 10	Tynemouth is the quaintest village in the north of England with an amazing architecture and history. It has a largely resident population which is becoming alienated from its heart.	Noted.

86	Resident 10	I agree that butchers and bakers do disappear over time, but it is being replaced by planning permission being given to the same rogue builders and developers to do what they will to make their own profit margins	Planning applications are determined on planning policy and guidance alone.
87	Resident 10	Pubs which pour in the masses, streets not cleaned of drink and cigarettes. The same restaurant chains of pasta etc. Coffee shops! In Kelso no new coffee shop allowed without some artisan shop within.	Where planning permission is required (e.g. change of use) the planning team can consider the uses proposed and the document sets out an objective to ensure a well-balanced mix. The planning system cannot however control the actual operator. Requiring things like artisan shops is unlikely to be reasonable in planning terms.
88	Resident 10	Why has Divanti been allowed flames outside there establishment.	This matter has been referred on to Planning Enforcement who are investigating.
89	Resident 10	The Coop frontage is appalling and a poor quality interior. Try the Londi grocers in Longframlington.	Document calls for improvements to Co-op exterior. The planning system cannot control its interior.
90	Resident 10	The dreadful gift shop selling tat which replaced the clothes shop.	The planning system cannot control the products sold.
91	Resident 10	Another fish and chip shop..... the smell.	There is a suite of existing planning policy to determine threats to amenity such as smells, and planning applications are considered with the input of the Environmental Health team.
92	Resident 10	Tynemouth is now the place to go for binge drinking by outsiders who have no sense of the history of a place. Also tourists must be catered for but North Tyneside don't have a grasp of their assets, and treasure them.	North Tyneside Council is supporting and adopting this document to preserve and enhance the conservation area. It is also pursuing a consultation on a cumulative impact policy to lessen the impacts of drinking establishments.

93	Senior Landscape Architect, Kier North Tyneside	4.3.1 Para beginning "The character of historic conservation areas like Tynemouth Village gains much from open spaces". How about: The mature tree cover in the Tynemouth area is one of the most important factors contributing to the character of the conservation area. All trees within the conservation area are protected under section 211 of the Town and Country Planning Act whereby anyone proposing to cut down, top or lop a tree is required to give six weeks' notice to the council who can then decide if the tree(s) should be further protected by TPO in the interests of amenity. The Council will not usually support any proposal which would lead to the loss of trees, hedges and shrubs which contribute to, or in the future might contribute to, the character of the Conservation Area.	Amended as recommended.
94	Senior Landscape Architect, Kier North Tyneside	Tree Preservation Orders provide an additional protection for significant trees and groups of trees. However should a protected tree be removed for justifiable reasons of health and safety or good arboricultural management practice, there is a requirement under the Town and Country Planning Act to plant a replacement tree to ensure tree cover is maintained. The Council supports the establishment and maintenance of a diverse and varied level of tree cover within the Conservation Area to achieve the goals of sustainability as well as providing numerous ecological benefits.	Amended as recommended.
95	Senior Landscape Architect, Kier North Tyneside	I'm not sure ' <b><i>a built-in maintenance programme to ensure that the treescape is a positive asset to Tynemouth Village</i></b> ' would be achievable as many trees are located in private gardens with the Council having very little influence on how or if they should be maintained. In order to maintain tree cover as a positive asset the Council can ensure the removal of protected trees is only undertaken for justifiable reasons and that if the duty to replant a replacement tree has not been complied with, the Council can use its appropriate enforcement powers to ensure such trees are replaced.	Amended as recommended.



96	Senior Landscape Architect, Kier North Tyneside	Under the section ' <b>Public realm management guidelines</b> ' section heading 'Trees' – there is a reference to ' <b>correct management of tree felling</b> ' - not sure what the correct management of tree felling is. Maybe this should instead, make reference to the powers the Council has to enforce replacement trees planting where a protected tree has been removed. This will also directly ensure ' <b>no erosion of tree cover</b> '	Amended as recommended.
97	Individual from outside North Tyneside	<p>With regards uPVC windows in the conservation area: I consider they are not all that bad. There are some excellent new products on the market which replicate wood for the frames. Some units and materials are nowadays so far removed from the 'bog standard' uPVC and have a very similar appearance to timber – but with greatly reduced maintenance and much more hard-wearing. Has anyone at the local authority actually bothered to fully research and assess these new products and make an objective recommendation about whether they are acceptable or not? I very much doubt it.</p> <p>Modern planning, modern development control and modern development management is about pragmatic decision-making in a sustainable and energy efficient way. New materials and new techniques have to be considered positively. One cannot just insist on timber for timber's sake – that is unfair, not objective and not realistic. Also uPVC as so prevalent already in some parts of the conservation area, that using the conservation area designation to control future replacement is really trying to shut the stable door after the horse has bolted.</p>	<p>The Council promotes the use of traditional materials in properties in the conservation area for several reasons, as outlined in our Window Guidance Note.</p> <p>A pragmatic approach was taken in implementing the two Article 4(2) Directions in the conservation area. They are not applied everywhere, only in those parts considered most "special" and not in parts where the situation was "too far gone". The Council has also granted permission to some modern materials in some parts of the conservation area, taking a pragmatic approach. Timber is not insisted on for timber's sake; the Council promotes the use of traditional materials in properties in the conservation area for several reasons, as outlined in our Window Guidance Note.</p>

At application and appeal sites with which I have been involved, uPVC has often been the most prevalent material on surrounding buildings and more of a characteristic than timber. Has anyone actually assessed specific sub-areas to consider just how many replacement windows there are and of what materials? I very much doubt it. I think if you actually canvassed householders and property owners as to whether they wanted to be allowed to consider the whole range of materials and not just timber, they would agree. In this age of sustainability and rising energy prices, a demand for timber and nothing else, has to be tempered and considered pragmatically. The energy savings and reduced requirement for maintenance are key factors in this windswept coastal location.

The local authority should be pragmatic and find a materials palette using traditional and modern materials to allow householders and property owners to have a choice – and not just simply demand timber in every situation. The Planning Inspectorate have reflected my opinion in upheld appeals for uPVC in rear alleyway situations on historic terraces and also or on frontages to commercial and other buildings within the conservation area boundaries. The local authority really has to take on board the Planning Inspectorate's experienced and weighty view – and not just listen to Heritage Officers and Conservation Officers who are not best placed to offer an objective opinion on such matters.

It will be those without an objective and wide-ranging view (e.g. conservation officers and civic/historical societies) who are so insistent on timber only – the vast majority of people care not a jot about the material, so long as buildings are upkept well and presentably.

The Local Planning Authority has a duty to preserve or enhance the character and appearance of the conservation area. Plastic windows, rainwater goods, etc. are generally not comparable in terms of appearance to traditional materials. According to English Heritage (the Government's adviser on heritage management) the most significant threat to the character of conservation areas comes from the loss of historic building details such as traditional windows and doors, boundary walls and roof coverings. Good quality maintenance, repair if needed and appropriate modifications are the recommended course of action, which should prove less costly than replacement. The planning system, however, is pragmatic so should other materials be available that did look the same as traditional materials, it could be assessed favourably.

Whilst what is being said is appreciated, the planning system is there to act in the public not private interest. Clearly, there is no wish to add to people's financial burden, though the local planning authority do have a duty of care to our built heritage. The fact that a property is listed/in a conservation area will always be picked up in any land search and purchasers can then decide whether to go ahead in full cognisance of any restrictions and financial risks.

98	Individual from outside North Tyneside	Furthermore, large parts of the conservation area are not worthy of the designation, they have no particular character, or it has been long lost. This conservation area is far too expansive and appears to have been drawn far too widely in an effort to draconically control minor development / redevelopment which has just led to the impact of the conservation area controls being weakened. This is the case at many conservation areas across the Borough (indeed across the country). An objective consideration of the boundaries needs to be made at the earliest possible opportunity and serious consideration given to shrinking the extent (Queensway etc) and concentrating efforts on the historical core.	Noted; considered in the document.
99	Individual from outside North Tyneside	Finally, how much bog-standard modern design and materials have the Council themselves installed in the conservation area. Seating, bins, street lights, hardstandings (you even criticise the newly installed island in Front St, Tynemouth), if the Council themselves cannot ensure consistency how on earth do they expect others.	This document is intended to implemented on a Corporate scale so that high standards are universal.
100	Individual from outside North Tyneside	Anyway, good luck with the all the work, just be a bit more positive and pragmatic.	Noted.
101	Resident 11	Conservation proposal is good, however should allow modernisation of properties to make them more fuel efficient (e.g. PVC double glazed windows, solar panels, etc.)	Conservation areas do not discourage fuel efficiency in properties. However it is considered that this can be achieved through means that are conservation-sensitive, e.g. secondary glazing.
102	Resident 11	Better use of a few areas would be good: e.g. green area at Percy Park could have trees, playground, benches, etc.	It is not considered that this would be a suitable area for a park for safety reasons and residential amenity. The document does suggest that this could be a possible site for some tree planting. Benches are discussed in Public Realm section but no specific locations are given.

103	Resident 12	<p>Replacing paving coverage with York stone - If this is the same material which has been used on Pier Road this is not really very suitable for its purpose. It is very uneven - I have twisted my ankle quite badly more than once walking up and down, in sensible shoes I would add. When wet they become quite slippery and when it is frosty they are more dangerous than a normal flat surface.</p>	<p>"Surfaces should be safe and useable" added to those parts that refer to replacement street surfaces.</p>
104	Resident 12	<p>The replacement of damaged house items such as downcomers, gutters and particularly windows with like items is desirable, but is very very expensive compared with more conventional materials. At the time I had work carried out to my windows there were some grants available, but I do not think these are still in existence.</p> <p>While keeping the character of the village and making sure developments are sympathetic is important I think there should be more emphasis on the cost to residents - the difference in cost between having an existing wooden window "improved", which is in no way comparable in overall efficiency, is probably more than installing a completely new PVC window.</p>	<p>The Local Planning Authority has a duty to preserve or enhance the character and appearance of the conservation area. Plastic windows, rainwater goods, etc. are generally not comparable in terms of appearance to traditional materials. According to English Heritage (the Government's adviser on heritage management) the most significant threat to the character of conservation areas comes from the loss of historic building details such as traditional windows and doors, boundary walls and roof coverings. Good quality maintenance, repair if needed and appropriate modifications are the recommended course of action, which should prove less costly than replacement.</p> <p>The planning system, however, is pragmatic so should (as stated) plastic guttering was available that did look the same as traditional materials, it could be assessed favourably. Whilst what is being said is appreciated, the planning system is there to act in the public not private interest. Clearly, there is no wish to add to people's financial burden, though the local planning authority do have a duty of care to our built heritage. The fact that a property is listed/in a conservation area will always be picked up in any land search and purchasers can then decide whether to go ahead in full cognisance of any restrictions and financial risks.</p>

		<p>In the case of gutters and downcomers the difference is astronomical - it cost me £800 to have the original guttering put up after it had fallen down because original supports had to be specially made. Plastic guttering which looks the same from underneath is available at a fraction of the cost. It may be acceptable if the restrictions are in place when a property changes hands and the buyer is aware of the implications, but it seems unfair to impose them on existing occupiers. Such restrictions could be a major factor in deciding whether to go ahead with a purchase or withdrawing.</p> <p>While agreeing in principal with most of the suggestions, I would advise against become too "precious" - it is important to people living here that we remain in the real world and do not become a living museum.</p>	
105	Public Protection Manager, North Tyneside Council	Please note that cumulative impact policy could apply to premises at any time, not just last night establishments.	Amendments made to clarify point.
106	Resident 13	Deplorable conditions of some properties in Middle Street and Percy Street.	The Planning Enforcement team are dealing with this case.
107	Resident 13	The grassed area adjacent to the Gibraltar Rock being continually used as a "dog toilet".	Unfortunately cannot be specifically addressed through this document but issue has been reported to the Council's Estate Clean-Up team who have confirmed they will investigate. The document does call for the Council to correctly maintain the public realm, including issues such as this.
108	Resident 13	Danger of being injured by the constant stream of cyclists riding on the pavement along the seafront.	Issue is noted and is raised in the document under the Enhancement section as a high priority.
109	Resident 14	I feel very strongly that the Lions head fountain should be uncovered on Tynemouth beach. If not used as a fountain then it could be protected. If it is not financially viable due to maintenance costs to remain in its original position perhaps you may consider Tynemouth park near boating lake. To simply have it buried in the sand is such a waste. Local people should be able to enjoy it and surely it would attract visitors too. Many thanks.	The significance of the Lion's Head Fountain is noted and is raised in the document under the Enhancement section as a high priority.

110	Resident 15	The document is well structured and articulates the strategy, intent and objectives very well, all very commendable.	Noted.
111	Resident 15	However, being a resident of Percy Park I cannot support any activity which aims to attract more visitors to the area and specifically Long Sands and King Edwards Bay due to the existing chronic parking problems suffered by residential areas due to visitors, dog walkers, surfers etc. who wish to utilize the beach(s). We as residents of Percy Park are already trapped in our homes 7 days a week due to Percy Park being utilized as a free beach car park, any initiative to attract more visitors and more demand for parking within such residential areas is unacceptable and further reduces our already negligible quality of life.	The Parking team are currently undertaking consultation with residents of Percy Park, Percy Park Road and Seafield View with regard to additional restrictions on these streets. If implemented, this should go some way to addressing the issues described.
112	Resident 15	If however the strategy looked to address and prioritise the chronic traffic and parking issues before attempting to attract more visitors to the area I would re visit my support for the Strategy document.	This Strategy cannot address traffic and parking issues in itself - as a planning document it is not within its remit. However it does ask the Council to prepare a comprehensive traffic and parking strategy.
113	Resident 16	There has been a lot of good/hard work done by people to produce a good document. I think it is another example of a good community based project, and in the main agree with it.	Noted.
114	Resident 16	2.2.2 Objectives - 'encourage private investment' - if the investment can only be done one way (i.e. conform), then it is possible that many may choose not to. This may discourage investment leading to a deterioration in the area and just what was attempting to be avoided. I did not see any further content on how it might be encouraged.	The key word is "encourage". We would encourage stakeholders to invest in good quality maintenance, repair, restoration of their properties. It wouldn't be appropriate to encourage in the document investment of lesser quality.
115	Resident 16	3.3.3 Conservation Boundaries - yes worth a review, but please involve the other parties as for example the Fish quay may feel that the promenade and bankside are equally theirs. Also agree that delisting areas could be the right decision as well.	Noted. This will be kept in mind when the boundaries are reviewed.
116	Resident 16	3.5 Research - did/does the council agree to carrying out these actions? Or is this just a wish of the committee as local groups unable or unwilling to manage or do the work?	These ideas have been formulated by the committee who prepared the document, but are in line with the Council's aspirations as set out in its Heritage Strategy.
117	Resident 16	4.3.3 Implementation - Parking - 'encourage the use of public transport' is this aimed at just visitors or does it include residents who also contribute to the problems?	We would hope that encouraging the use of public transport would apply to everyone.

118	Resident 16	<p>4.5.3 Design Principles - Windows - 'UPVC is generally unacceptable' - if you can get a UPVC look alike window and it is cheaper than wood then you may have problems - yes wood would be better but not everyone can or will want to pay extra. If the aim is to keep the area looking good it may be right to accept UPVc if the alternative is no upgrade and shabby appearance.</p>	<p>The Local Planning Authority has a duty to preserve or enhance the character and appearance of the conservation area. Plastic windows, rainwater goods, etc. are generally not comparable in terms of appearance to traditional materials. According to English Heritage (the Government's adviser on heritage management) the most significant threat to the character of conservation areas comes from the loss of historic building details such as traditional windows and doors, boundary walls and roof coverings. The Council promotes the use of traditional materials in properties in the conservation area for several reasons, as outlined in our Window Guidance Note. Good quality maintenance, repair if needed and appropriate modifications are the recommended course of action, which should prove less costly than replacement. The planning system, however, is pragmatic so should plastic windows be available that did look the same as traditional materials, it could be assessed favourably.</p> <p>Whilst what is being said is appreciated, the planning system is there to act in the public not private interest. Clearly, there is no wish to add to people's financial burden, though the local planning authority do have a duty of care to our built heritage. The fact that a property is listed/in a conservation area will always be picked up in any land search and purchasers can then decide whether to go ahead in full cognisance of any restrictions and financial risks. As set out in the National Planning policy Framework "Where there is evidence of deliberate neglect of or damage to a heritage asset the deteriorated state of the heritage asset should not be taken into account in any decision".</p>
-----	-------------	--	--

119	Resident 16	4.6.3 Implementation - Building and repairs - is this enforced maintenance? Agree a 'stitch in time' but does this mean only wealthy (like minded) people can live in Tynemouth. Not all people have the resources, and in some cases the commitment to maintain, are you suggesting enforcement/training, and if so I think this starts to infringe on owners rights and may have problems.	This is not enforced maintenance. This is encouragement for property owners to maintain their properties in line with best practice. The Council's Enforcement powers would come into affect should a property be of such an adverse appearance that it affected the amenity of the area, as is set out in planning law.
120	Resident 17	Our main concern is the number of bars and restaurants in Tynemouth, which sounds even to us as slightly hypocritical as it was one of the main reasons we moved to Tynemouth 3+ yrs ago. However since we moved more and more bars and restaurants have opened and shops such as the bakery are closing to make way for them. Tynemouth on a Friday / Saturday night is increasingly becoming like Whitley Bay was before the bars were shut down.	The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area and calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.
121	Resident 17	One area the council could have an immediate effect is in effectively managing the drinking outside of pubs bars. In summer on a weekend it is difficult to walk down the pavement due to the huge numbers of people outside the bars - particularly bad are Priory Pub, the Cumberland Arms, the Working Man's club. But Allards, Lui's, Aspire and even the Wine Chambers are getting bad. We really struggled to push our son's pushchair through the crowds last year. Please can you limit the number of people drinking in the street and where they stand? My wife and I are in our thirties and do enjoy going out in Tynemouth, but it has really become far too busy and on bank holidays quite an intimidating environment.	There is a drinking exclusion zone on Front Street which is controlled by the Police. Unfortunately it is beyond the remit of this document. The document can look to manage the number of new premises, and it also calls for the potential implementation of a Cumulative Impact Policy; the Licensing team are undertaking their consultation on this matter now.
122	Resident 17	The residential parking permits work very well, with us know able to park outside of our street at the weekend. We live in TM1 and to be honest we only need the permit parking protection at the weekends and for bank holidays, it isn't needed for the weekdays and could change to how the TM2 permits work without any real upset.	Noted.
123	Resident 17	Litter is a large issue but not one that is specific to Tynemouth..	Litter is noted in the document as a problem. The document calls for good management of the public realm including litter clean-up and bin provision.



124	Resident 17	No-one obeys the planning regulations about PVC windows replacing original wooden sash windows in the conservation area; but that opportunity has gone because the majority of people have had them changed already.	It is not true that no-one obeys the regulations. Many windows are permitted development. Many other homeowners chose to conserve their original windows. Conservation areas and Article 4 Directions are long-term designations that can work to improve the character and appearance of the Village, so it is not considered that the opportunity has gone.
125	Resident 18	P4 and P18 : 1.2 first para: need to remove reference to decline and just say suffer from lack of investment in a few places, otherwise the whole thing is imbalanced. P18 para 5 of 4.2.1. says has not suffered economic decline, so we need to be consistent and not contradict between pages 4 and 18. We know that it was meant to refer to only a couple of buildings, so if those are specifically a problem, we can say so appropriately.	Para 1.2 is referring to all conservation areas - not Tynemouth Village in particular. However, to avoid confusion, the reference has been deleted.
126	Resident 18	P13 remove the word 'box' wherever we actually have a box! we can find only this one..	amended.
127	Resident 18	P 28: furniture: cannot say street clutter to be kept to an absolute minimum, referring to benches being visual clutter, yet asking for more benches elsewhere. We need to be consistent about this.	Document has been reviewed to ensure it has a clear message on bench provision and maintenance.
128	Resident 18	P29: parking: 2 hour parking restriction on Howl lngs: this can cause problems if it stays like this, as it messes up the fishermen, the sailing club and would mess up our plans to get more public to use it.	Specific reference to the Howl lngs inserted.
129	Resident 18	P29: should we be suggesting specific locations for specific trees in this way? We name only three locations and it may be other planting types and in other places may be more appropriate. Also to note that the 'planting' of hedges here and in places like Jesmond are plastic, not real. Do we want lots of plastic?	Some potential sites are put forward in the "other enhancement opportunities" box. Otherwise the document calls for appropriate planting in appropriate locations. The hedging referred to is real hedges.
130	Resident 18	P35: no reference to illuminated signs etc; these are referred to in the UDP, but should be here under Design, shopfronts and premises.	The UDP asks that development respects the character and appearance of the conservation area; it doesn't specifically refer to illuminated signs. However we feel that illuminated shopfronts are inappropriate in this conservation area and words to this affect have been added to the shopfronts design guidance box.
131	Resident 18	P38 : could we have an example of an old good, shop front that we wish to see emulated.	4 Percy Park Road added as an example.

132	Resident 18	P52 fourth para in box should say Sea Scouts, not Sea Cadets.	Amended.
133	Resident 18	P53: last line in the Priors Park box. Suggest delete "this should be pursued in the short-term" as it does not say by whom and in any case it is privately owned. If there is such a project beyond Priors Park, then it should be said so elsewhere, not in this box.	Sentence has been amended to "We would urge that this is pursued in the short term".
134	Resident 18	P60: 3rd point for Spanish Battery: should say 'any proposed movement' so as not to upset those who donated the benches.	"proposed" added.
135	Resident 18	P60: last point for Spanish Battery: modest tree planting.... This is a rocky outcrop, hardly likely to have trees there and not much help for the birds either. Suggest removal of this point.	Document now reads that tree planting should be appropriate species in appropriate locations and "The choice of species should be influenced by the location and the species of trees in the vicinity". For this particular section " in the dene behind the battery" has been added to make the location clear.
136	Resident 18	P61: point 1: need to say that monument needs more significant information plaque. Also point 4 replace Sea Cadets with Sea Scouts.	"but a further board could be sited for those approaching from Pier Road." added.
137	Resident 18	P63: end of list talks about street furniture but elsewhere talks about less clutter. Need to be consistent on this, otherwise it reads quite inconsistently.	This is a list of what happens in Front St and how it could be improved, rather than asking for more.
138	Resident 18	P69: this refers to public toilets on Front St: so where are they, then? This reads really badly.	"Front Street" removed.
139	Resident 18	P69 point five on other opportunities. Need to state clearly that the Green is in fact a memorial garden, not just a green area or park or garden. So the sensitive nature needs to be taken into consideration always.	Point has been included.
140	Resident 19	Overall, I think the Management Strategy is good, but I am disappointed that the issues and poor condition of the domestics streets have not been given greater emphasis and a photograph to illustrate the situation.	High standards of maintenance are covered in the document. Whilst there are some areas of poor street condition, it is not considered that the problem is a particular problem specifically for Tynemouth Village that it should warrant specific mention.

141	Resident 19	I am attaching two photographs, taken Wednesday 19 02 14, which show an example of typical issues relating to Middle Street. The prominent problem featured is the car parking but the neglected state of the road and waste bins can also be seen or interpreted. The parked cars are those of none residents using acquired visitor parking permits, therefore without showing a vehicle registration number but no doubt the Authority could audit the use of permits and trace the issued address. I suspect the Parking Manager will say it is a Police matter and the Police will say it is parking management matter and perhaps none of the issues falls within any planning control! Surely this should not be the scene for dwellings in a Conservation Area but who can take an holistic approach to bring about any improvement. It is this aspect and frustration for residents that I think should have greater emphasis in the Management Strategy.	Unfortunately inconsiderate parking and sharing of visitor permits are beyond the scope of this document. The document does recognise that there are several concerns about parking in the Village and asks that the relevant team within the Council tackle them through a comprehensive Strategy.
142	Resident 20	It has struck me that we have made no comment about sustainability in our doc. NTC has a Sustainable Communities Strategy and I suggest it should be referred to in 2.1.3 as also being relevant. It seems to me to be relevant , because our 'protection ' and 'Enhancement' will be of no avail if we do not recognise that all that we cherish is likely to be at much greater risk if we do not cut carbon emissions. UK has had stern reminders of this this winter.	The Sustainable Communities Strategy no longer exists. However the Council do have a suite of documents relating to environmental sustainability, and they have been referenced.
143	Resident 20	I think English Heritage has some phrase about safeguarding for future generations and I suggest that we might include in 2.2.2, at the end of the second point, ' for today and for future generations'.	added.
144	Resident 20	p 14 3.5.2 ( or perhaps under boundaries ) Should make reference to the points made about the historic significance of the housing to the west of the station i.e. that it was an early, perhaps the first 'commuter estate' built to make use of the electric railway and it provided for a microcosm of the new industry . Some expensive houses for the employers, some lesser housing for the managers and Tyneside flats for the workers - nearest to the railway.	Sentence added.
145	Resident 20	p19 under Position : 2nd para cyclists add 'and cycle parking facilities'	added.

146	Resident 20	last para, penultimate line Prior's Park - Prior's Park is another substantial green area. It is privately owned, with Kings School, Allotment Holders and tennis and cricket club members and others who pay a small annual subscription entitled to use, but in fact used by many others too. Many think the possibility of this use being regularised should be explored.	"but wider public use of the park would be desirable." has been added.
147	Resident 20	p20 Front St, last para, line2 'is a concern for many, here and elsewhere in the village,inspite of there being a 20 mph limit across the whole conservation area'	added.
148	Resident 20	p22 4.3.1 para2, start of last line ...and management programme..	Whole paragraph has been replaced in line with the recommendation of the Council's Landscape Architect.
149	Resident 20	p23 just above Front St 'and the station..... In addition the station has the advantage of being covered.	added.
150	Resident 20	p25 4.3.3 7line 2 'facilities, which must include appropriate toilets, benches and shelter'. ( incidentally, the Low Lights car park on the Fish Quay and Cullercoats do have recently built or refurbished excellent toilets - why not Tynemouth ?)	added. It is understood that the toilets at Fish Quay were supported through an external funding initiative.
151	Resident 20	p.33 Part 3 Class 1 This is not worth saying. Most of the large terrace houses are already divided into two or more apartments, many being owner occupied. There is a very good reason for that . They were built for households which included 5 or 6 children and several servants, which no longer exist.	It is appreciated that the properties are large and may lend themselves to be divided. But houses of multiple occupation are not the same as apartments. HMOs tend to represent a more transient population that could harm the character of the area.
152	Resident 20	p41 Building maintenance advice, under 'Every winter' re trees likely to be a problem -add reminder that council must be notified of any wish to do things to trees.	added.
153	Resident 20	p445.2 Position 'radical improvement'? line 12 lists Kings School- should Kings school's extension be called an improvement ?- I don't think so.	A known contentious point so has been removed.
154	Resident 20	p51 penultimate Caravan and Camping site ? NO, it should go. But could put in extension of the parking area to west and north of Pier car park	Section amended with caravan suggestion removed.
155	Resident 20	p52 As noted earlier point one users include tennis and cricket clubs and those who subscribe a small sum annually to be members. Many other people also use it. The last 2 points are not clear Do we mean to say access for all should be negotiated ? I would agree	"but wider public use of the park would be desirable." has been added.

156	Resident 20	p53 point one Include toilets	"suitable facilities" has been added - to take in all facilities that cyclists could use.
157	Resident 20	p54 Should add possible sites at Seafield and north of Warkworth terrace	We do not consider these sites to be suitable for a play area or similar.
158	Resident 20	p67 Enhancement Opportunities, line 2 Should include Station Developments in those credited with determination.	added.
159	Resident 20	Re Howl Ings and the Block House. I have become unsure as to what exactly is meant by these terms. I thought that they were the area entered to the right ( north) of the Port of Tyne portacabin, where the Red Seals huts are, with trees at either side at the front and the woodland at the back, with the derelict Block House against the bank on the north west side. Although the pier watchman parks there and the Red Seals take a vehicle in sometimes, it is not a car park- and in my view should not be. I have been led to believe that Port of Tyne owns that land, as far as the chain wire fence. The existing free car park, which I think of as the Pier Rd Car Park, is entered to the left of the portacabin. The extension car park, to the rear of that area, on the north side, which I have supported, has trees and bushes on the west and north sides. It is separated from Howl Ings by the chain wire fence.	The car park is "officially" called the "Priors Haven Car Park". When discussing the car parking, references have been changed to reflect this.
160	Resident 20	On re-reading the document, I notice that there is very little reference to the residents of the village. It could be argued, I suppose that we don't need to conserve them and that they are a nuisance really, but they are the ones who have put a lot into this whole exercise - and on whom the council will depend to be eyes and ears and active defenders of the village in future. Is it not acceptable to remark that the quality of life of those who live here is important and that their co-operation in conserving , enhancing etc will be invaluable to the whole enterprise ? I don't know where it should go or what it should say, exactly, but I think something is needed - quite a few people do remark on the emphasis on the interests of businesses rather than residents and I agree with them.	The efforts of the community in helping conserve the area is touched upon within the Enforcement section. Additional paragraph has been added at 2.2.3 on Local involvement that aims to cover this sentiment.

161	Resident 20	I also notice we have not said much about shelter - from wind or rain. A very small aspect of this is the 'shelter' on the sea front nearly opposite the Grand. There is no 'glass' in the windows, so for shelter you need to lie on the bench ! I would like to see more real shelters, but at least the one we have should actually give some shelter. Again I am not sure where this should go- but somewhere.	"Restoration and better use of amenity shelters is encouraged. A small number of new shelters should be considered in suitable locations." added to public realm.
162	Resident 20	Should we say something about keeping an eye on listed and especially locally listed buildings ? We have noticed that the Watch Tower, Percy Gardens, which I believe received some funding in the past, is looking quite dilapidated. Should we say local residents could be encouraged to scrutinise our heritage and keep the Council informed ?	"Look to include locally registered buildings in the Heritage At Risk register in the future" has been added to Maintenance section. This is linked to Enforcement and that section states the importance of local assistance in reporting issues.
163	Resident 20	If funding is found to support improvements to private property in order to improve the quality of the conservation environment, should there not be some obligation on the owner to maintain the quality ? If so, how can this be made to happen ? If not, can some such obligation be imposed in the future ?	This would depend on who provided the funding. Some funding schemes do include maintenance as a condition. As its down to the individual funder, we can't impose any obligation in this document. The document does encourage good maintenance however.
164	Resident 21	More shops (especially a bakery), fewer bars.	The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area, however the planning system cannot control the kind of shop. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to lessen the impact of drinking establishments. The Licensing team are undertaking their consultation on this matter now. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.
165	Resident 21	Ban cycling on the pavement except for children.	Unfortunately this document does not have the power to ban pavement cycling. However it does recognise that the infrastructure needs improving to reduce the chances of it happening, and recommends shared paths of an appropriate width or good quality separate cycle provision.
166	Resident 21	Less traffic through Front Street.	Covered in the Front Street Enhancement section.
167	Resident 22	War poets. Betjeman.	Unable to find sufficient link to make specific reference, but a link has been provided to the Tynemouth World War 1 Commemoration Project webpage.

168	Resident 23	p.19 Adequate playgrounds without using Seafield as a playground - far too busy an area.	The Seafield is not mentioned as a potential play area. Text has been amended to make this clearer.
169	Resident 23	Facilities needed for coach parking within Tynemouth.	Potential for the Howl lngs to accommodate larger vehicles has been added.
170	Resident 24	Keep privately owned green space private.	Unsure what the comment is referring to. Ownership issues are beyond the remit of this document.
171	Resident 24	Reduce licensing hours. Charge for staying open after 12pm the same as Newcastle	The document recognises the issues created by licensed premises. The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area, however the planning system cannot control the kind of shop. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to lessen the impact of drinking establishments. The Licensing team are undertaking their consultation on this matter now. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.
172	Resident 24	Re: windows being in keeping, the co-op is terrible.	Co-op frontage is included within document as an enhancement opportunity, which will serve as encouragement and guidance. Co-op representatives have been involved in the preparation of the draft document.
173	Resident 24	More police presence!	Police coverage is beyond the scope of this document.
174	Resident 24	Give private bus companies franchises.	The document encourages schemes that would require bus company support such as park and ride and coastal shuttle, but it does not have the power to give franchises to companies.
175	Resident 24	Taxis parking on double yellow lines in front of the Gibraltar Rock and along Grand Parade.	The document recognises the issues created by queuing taxis. It advises that a comprehensive traffic and parking scheme be pursued by the Council. Unfortunately it does not have the power to tackle the issues itself - this is within the remit of the Parking team.
176	Resident 25	The benefactor of the clock tower was an annual visitor to Tynemouth. I cannot find his name mentioned on it.	William Scott was the benefactor. An inscription is not mentioned in listing description.

177	Resident 25	Cars could be directed to the block yard at weekends and a shuttle bus could be provided to go up and down Pier Bank.	The possibility of the Howl lngs/block yard being utilised as a car park is supported in the document. The road train idea mentioned in the document has been linked to the Howl lngs section.
178	Resident 25	Toilets could be provided.	New toilet facilities are encouraged in the document.
179	Resident 25	Too many café outlets and people sitting on the pavement with dogs.	The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area.
180	Resident 25	The Village green used for food weekend but otherwise overlooked except remembrance day services. I would like the trees cut back to a reasonable size to let in more sunshine also adequate seats to accommodate families and older people.	The Village green is considered as a memorial green and we would not agree that it's overlooked. Trees are managed in accordance with good horticultural practice.
181	Tynemouth Sailing Club	TSC support the strategy.	Noted.
182	Tynemouth Sailing Club	4.2.2 Regeneration Sport and Leisure. Tynemouth Sailing Club is currently (February/March 2014) undergoing a major internal refurbishment and could accommodate at least double it's existing membership.	Added.
183	Tynemouth Sailing Club	4.3.3.Public Realm Management. TSC support the establishment of an overall parking plan. Parking on the unadopted tarmac in front of the Sailing Club and on the beach is unsightly and causes access problems. Use by the fishing groups who use the pier is a particular issue. They fish from 10a.m. to 4p.m. so cannot use the blockyard car park which has a 2 hr restriction during the week.	Noted. The needs of Haven users has been added to the Enhancement section for Priors Haven.
184	Tynemouth Sailing Club	4.5.2.Building Maintenance and Repair Position. The Old Life Boat Station which is owned by TSC is fundamentally sound and is kept watertight but the metal cladding roof and fascias and soffits are deteriorating. It is beyond the means of the club to undertake major repairs and a strategy for long term refurbishment and indeed reroofing is needed.	Noted in the Enhancement section.



185	Tynemouth Sailing Club	<p>5.4. Sport and Leisure. Enhancement Opportunity; Prior's Haven and Howl Ings. * TSC support the idea of a coherent plan including beach layout. * Refurbishment of the Life Boat Station is highly desirable; see above. * The Sailing Club building is currently being refurbished internally and externally the windows will be redecorated and some cladding repaired. The 1970s building does not sit easily in the historic landscape but there is little that can be done to change this except possibly a change in cladding colour. A view from the pier end to appreciate the architect's intention is recommended. * A slipway in the centre of the beach (not the original position) for use at all states of the tide is the key to increasing activity. As an alternative to the recent costly concrete proposal, erection of a wooden slip capable of supporting sailing dinghies, rowing boats and rescue craft but not vehicles should be examined. This would be in keeping with the original slip shown in historic photographs.</p>	Noted. Suggestion of a timber slipway added.
186	Tynemouth Sailing Club	<p>* An overhaul of boat parking is supported. Not all of the boats on the beach are owned by sailing club members or hut owners. Removal of other abandoned craft and associated debris by the council would be welcome. Provision of beach furniture similar to the Cullercoats boat parking boundary instead of the rotting dolphins would also improve the appearance of the Haven. * The tarmac area in front of the club was laid by members but in terms of use is under council not club control although unadopted. It is deteriorating and needs attention.</p>	Suggestions about refurbishment added.
187	Tynemouth Sailing Club	<p>5.5 Heritage Sites. TSC believe the Old Life Boat Station should be included as a project under medium priority. ( In the same way that it was included in the Haven Improvement bid for Coastal Community Funding.) Suitable interpretive signing could be installed and provision for access to the public made during heritage lottery days or by appointment.</p>	Refurbishment of this building is included within the Prior's Haven enhancement box, which is classified as a high priority. "This should include restoring its roof to reflect its traditional architecture." has been added to match up with the desires of the CCF bid.

188	Resident 26	Section 5.4 ENHANCEMENT OPPORTUNITY: Play Area. Priority: MEDIUM A site for new children's play provision should be identified in the conservation area. Seafield Green has been mooted as a possible play area. I am against this because it is a designated green open space. It is used in the summer and occasionally in the winter as an ad hoc area for children and families to play on. I am in favour of this and I like to see the green being used for playing games. I would not like to see it formalised with a designated play area and the visual intrusion this would cause. I believe that there is enough play area provision in Tynemouth, Cullercoats, Whitley Bay and North Shields. The soon to be reopened Northumberland Park will be a great addition to this provision.	The Seafield is not mentioned as a potential play area. Text has been amended to make this clearer.
189	Highways Agency	The Highways Agency has no comment to make on this draft management strategy.	Noted.
190	Resident 27	I note the concern about the proliferation of bars on Front Street and the very real risk that it will soon resemble Osborne Road in Jesmond. Indeed, I lived in Jesmond in the 1990's and Osborne Road became one of the main reasons I moved away. I sincerely hope I never have to consider leaving Tynemouth for similar reasons. So I would support moves to restrict new licenses or extensions of existing ones.	Noted.
191	Resident 27	I'm interested in the idea of shared space on Front Street - I like it.	Noted.
192	Resident 27	I also think the restoration of the outdoor pool is worthy of consideration though I am undecided about the feasibility of it.	Noted.
193	Resident 27	I didn't see mention of - I'm not sure of the correct term - the noisy, motorised, stand up boat things that were heading from Cullercoats to The Haven. I do hope that idea has been nipped in the bud.	It is understood that the suggestion of jet skis at the Haven is no longer under consideration.
194	Resident 28	3.3. Extending the boundary of the heritage area including Sir James Knott memorial flats but not River View. Why not.	Conservation areas are those with special character and appearance that it is desirable to preserve or enhance. Knotts flats are considered special through their history, architecture and siting; this has been recognised through their placement on the Local register, They are considered worthy to potentially be included within the conservation area. River View is not considered to possess any particular special character or appearance. However, any boundary changes will be subject to full investigation and consultation.

195	19th Tynemouth Sea Scouts	<p>In section 5 page 59 it states that the Sea Scouts use the bay, this is correct. It then goes on further down that page and the next one to talk about sea cadets. There are no Sea cadets in Tynemouth. We are Sea Scouts and have attended several meetings regarding the planning and potential new launch ramp with yourselves. The document talks of the Sea cadets, which I assume actually means Scouts, needing to be re housed, possibly with the sailing club or rowing club. As the point of contact with the council I have not been consulted about this potential move, and at this moment have been given no information as to exactly what this means, and how it would affect us. Some clarification on this matter is required as a matter of urgency please. The paperwork further mentions the building of a visitor centre on the existing Sea scout site, again this needs discussing so we know where we stand.</p>	<p>Members of the community prepared this draft document. They used the conceptual ideas set out in the Council's Coastal Regeneration Strategy as inspiration, which is where the ideas about the Sea Scout's facilities have come from. These sections have now been rewritten to remove specific reference to the Sea Scouts.</p>
196	Camping and caravanning club	<p>5.4 Sports and leisure. Priors Haven &amp; Howlings. I think that the option to create a caravan site in this area would be very advantageous to the area. caravanning has become one of the most popular pastimes in recent years. There is not a caravan site in the Tynemouth area, and I believe this would bring another source of income for the area</p>	<p>The idea of a potential Caravan Park at Howl Ings was included within a Coastal Regeneration Framework prepared three years ago. All things considered, including the heritage and biodiversity value of the area, it is our opinion that a caravan site would not be appropriate here and will be removing the reference from the document.</p>
197	Camping and caravanning club II	<p>5.4 With reference to - Howl Ings. The Camping and Caravanning Club, Northumbrian District Association have discussed the councils proposal of a possible camp site at Howl Ings. We would fully support such a use as there are few camp sites in the area and this is ideally situated for access to both the amenities of Tynemouth village, the Haven, and all surrounding areas. . We intend to bring this to the attention of our headquarters to see if they would be interested in surveying this site to see if it would be suitable as a possible future addition to the Clubs portfolio of national camp sites.</p>	<p>The idea of a potential Caravan Park at Howl Ings was included within a Coastal Regeneration Framework prepared three years ago. All things considered, including the heritage and biodiversity value of the area, it is our opinion that a caravan site would not be appropriate here and will be removing the reference from the document.</p>

198	Resident 29	4.2.1 - in reference to enhancing the draw of Tynemouth - on even winter Sundays, especially sunny ones, parking is a nightmare, and the roads are clogged at times with people driving round looking for parking spaces. Any addition to the attractions of the area must be accompanied with an increase in parking. (Note, from observation, any scheme that ticks the right boxes for sustainable use, by limiting car parking, is deluded - people are not ready to give up their cars because a box has been ticked to say that the development is "green". My experience is that people just park where they can, which means local streets or double parking.)	Parking is cited as an issue in the Village and the document looks to alleviate the problem by suggesting a park and ride scheme, a coastal road train, better cycle facilities, increased car parking at areas outside the Village core, and also asks that a comprehensive traffic and parking strategy is pursued.
199	Resident 29	ENHANCEMENT OPPORTUNITY: Cycling. Is there any point in linking the cycleways to the Metro station when Metro won't carry bikes? If Metro could be encouraged to accept limited numbers of bikes in the places set aside for wheelchairs and prams, you could allow cyclists to start or end a journey at Tynemouth, and use public transport to/from it.	We understand Nexus are planning a trial of bicycles on the T&W Metro in 2016. However the principle benefit in improving cycle links to public transport is to enable local people to (cycle) park and ride, in particular into Newcastle City Centre i.e. support wider regional transport policies to reduce traffic congestion on the coast road and promote public transport use into city centres. The Metro does accept folding cycles.
200	Resident 29	My experience of cycling in North Tyneside is that, for cycle paths created from the carriageway, either the motorists ignore it (drive in it, park in it, or in the case of the traffic light priority areas, wait in it) or cyclists ignore it (because the motorists make it dangerous) and cycle on the pavement. Cycleways need to be separate from the road.	Unfortunately it is difficult to change people's behaviour but the document does encourage shared paths on the pavement and if this cannot be achieved, separate paths from within the carriageway. This is following the advice of a Sustrans representative.
201	Resident 29	ENHANCEMENT OPPORTUNITY: The Pier and Pier Cottage. Pier cottage is possibly empty because of the asking price. I think it was £350,000. I was interested two years ago, and I know of two other parties who were interested (which probably means lots of people looked at it), but turned it down because of the asking price.	Noted. This document cannot control property prices.

202	Resident 29	FRONT STREET. Park and ride. People like to park outside the place they are visiting. It is difficult to change that behaviour - but the stick approach causes resentment. If there is to be a park and ride I suggest a regular heritage bus service along the coast road, linking all the main car parks, and free to anyone in possession of a parking ticket - like the Durham park and ride. This spreads the parking "load" along the coast and removes the urgency to park in Front Street or outside the Metro station on market days.	This suggestion is similar to the road train proposal included within the document.
203	Resident 29	All in all, it's an excellent approach. I don't have time to comment more.	Noted.
204	Resident 29	However, as a North shields resident, I'd love to see at least some of the approach here used in the rest of the historical/modern areas - why should ugly and intrusive developments be discouraged in Tynemouth, but perfectly ok in the rest of the borough? The arguments for enhancing the atmosphere and enjoyment of an area apply as much to other parts of North Tyneside - in fact there are many parts of it where considerable benefits could be gained by simple investment in maintenance of existing assets.	Ugly and intrusive developments are not perfectly ok in the rest of the borough. The Council has a suite of borough-wide policy and guidance to guide appropriate development (including a Design Quality Supplementary Planning Document). This draft document sets out how that policy and guidance could be applied specifically to Tynemouth Village.
205	Resident 30	Sandwich Boards ('A' Boards). The Council should enforce its policy of allowing only one board per business and ensuring it is situated on the business premises, not in the public footpaths.	The document references the A Board policy and urges the Council to enforce against breaches more effectively.
206	Resident 30	Tynemouth Pool. Substantial funds have been wasted in the past trying to turn this into a wildlife pool. It is essential to ensure that the new proposal is viable long term or even more money will be wasted. If it is unsustainable, then we will be left with buildings that could become derelict and therefore an eyesore.	Plans for the Pool are still being developed and The Friends of the Pool are pursuing a range of funding sources.

207	Resident 30	Licensed Premises. There are too many already. Restrictions on more being granted should be enforced as a matter of urgency. The village is losing its identity as a residential town and is becoming a tourist resort.	The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to lessen the impact of drinking establishments. The Licensing team are undertaking their consultation on this matter now. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.
208	Resident 30	Nursery on roundabout at Holy Saviours. Owner should be made to tidy this up as it is a gateway to the village. Also, plants etc should be sold from within the premises and not displayed on the pavement, as this causes a serious obstruction causing pedestrians to step out on the road. Also, cars often park on the roundabout to load purchase. This should not be allowed and is a serious safety issue.	The Planning Enforcement team recently investigated this site and found that it was not having a detrimental effect on the neighbourhood and so it did not warrant enforcement action. Highway safety is beyond the remit of this document.
209	Resident 31	In the last years the character of Tynemouth Village has changed. Instead of the facilities supplying the needs of its immediate residents these have been eroded and now much of what is available is aimed at an influx of casual visitors. I'm aware that Tynemouth has naturally attracted visitors but there are two major contributors which need looking at.	The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to lessen the impact of drinking establishments. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.
210	Resident 31	The saturation of premises offering refreshment. The Licensing Committee could be made aware there is a link between cafes and pubs and heritage.	The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to lessen the impact of drinking establishments. The Licensing team are undertaking their consultation on this matter now. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.

211	Resident 31	The proliferation of traffic. Ask anyone – visitor or resident – and the topic turns to cars. Can we have more pedestrian crossings, supervision of speed, enforcement of parking restrictions and provision of bollards on pavements to reclaim them for pedestrians.	All are supported in the document except for bollards, which have been known to represent a clutter issue throughout the borough. We would urge that any proposed bollards would be carefully considered as to not increase clutter in the Village.
212	Resident 31	We could do with a dog warden putting in an occasional appearance.	Dog warden coverage is beyond the remit of this document, but issues have been raised to the relevant team. The document does call for the Council to correctly maintain the public realm, including issues like this.
213	Resident 31	Can we have a check on the substantial alteration of 1930's semi-detached properties otherwise we'll finish up with just a terrace.	This is noted as an issue in the adopted Character Appraisal. The design section sets out the objective for assessing planning applications "Pay special attention to the way new design responds to the area's traditional character and appearance, taking into account the different character zones in the area."
214	Resident 32	I do not think that any plan will be successful, given that it has all been done before. Until the markets at Tynemouth Station are brought under control, perhaps limited to one day only, the blight they bring in the way of traffic congestion Tynemouth will never be the Village it was, its character, the reason for the Conservation status, has been spoiled for ever, the lovely village it used to be is gone.	We consider the markets at the Station to be a positive asset to the Village. Parking issues are created for more reasons than just the market. Parking is cited as an issue in the Village and the document looks to alleviate the problem by suggesting a park and ride scheme, a coastal road train, better cycle facilities, increased car parking at the Howl lngs, and also asks that a comprehensive traffic and parking strategy is pursued. The document also suggests that more could be done to promote the Metro and its links to the Village, e.g. though a 'Come by train to Tynemouth Station market' campaign.

215	Resident 32	The sooner the proposed Cumulative Impact Policy is introduced, the better, as the amount of pubs and eating places has gone over the top, there should be no more. There appears to be no consideration for those who live in and around the Village, especially where taxis and traffic is concerned. The pavements are cluttered with advertising boards, and covered in disgusting chewing gum, and littered with cigarette ends.	The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to lessen the impact of drinking establishments. The Licensing team are currently undertaking a consultation on this matter. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.
216	Resident 32	Instead of wanting to plant trees everywhere, which will not survive, the money would be better spent on mending the pavements and cleaning the vomit, dog mess, litter etc. as well as the provision of decent toilets - what an eyesore the automatic toilets are, right outside the Priory & Castle,	The document encourages improved toilet provision and appropriate public realm and environment maintenance. Street cleaning is beyond the remit of this document but the relevant team are aware of the issues. Trees are suggested in some locations but their planting would be subject to the advice of the relevant Council teams including the Arboricultural Officer.
217	Resident 33	I have previously complained about the proliferation of advertising A-boards which appear outside commercial premises on the public footpath creating an obstruction. I was informed through my Councillor that there was a Council policy limiting such boards. I do not know that there can be any policy which condemns illegal obstruction of the highway. Since most commercial premises have a private frontage such boards should be limited to that area. They should not obstruct the highway. In the event of injury caused by them who is responsible – the owners or the Council who condones their placement? Quite apart from their obstruction – there are now more than a dozen, they are unsightly in a Conservation Area.	The resident attended one of the drop-in events and was directed to the Council's A-board policy online. The policy sets out that the responsibility lies with the premises owner and they should have sufficient insurance in place. It is agreed that too many, poorly sited A-boards have a negative effect on the conservation area and the document urges that the Council better enforces breaches.
218	Resident 34	A useful discussion document.	Noted.
219	Resident 34	Strongly support restoration of the TVLB - perhaps with a link with Collingwood Monument - which could support a visitor centre on its own.	Noted and supported in the document.



220	Resident 34	Longsands building project - long overdue. Crusoe's is a popular café but a scruffy eyesore. A new development with the proposed facilities is long overdue. Restoration of Lion's Head Fountain would be good - possibly linked with above.	The Longsands proposals in the draft document mirror those already set out by the Council's Regeneration team following the preparation of a Coastal Regeneration Framework. The building on the Longsands and Lion's Head Fountain are part of this but at present there are no plans to refurbish Crusoe's. The building is not considered to be of poor quality that would warrant a mention in this document.
221	Resident 34	This would be better than trying to develop/restore the Open Air Pool. There is simply no demand or need for an open air pool, but perhaps some of the suggested associated facilities could be incorporated in above. I'm sorry to say that restoration of the Pool itself is a complete non-starter.	The Friends of the Open Air Pool are working on the feasibility of their proposals.
222	Resident 34	To continue to attract visitors and shoppers, significant parking places need to be retained in front Street. A more thoughtful use of residents-only parking nearby is necessary - little used during the day by residents.	The document recognises the parking issues in the Village and calls for a comprehensive parking strategy to be prepared.
223	Resident 34	Kwikfit is an eyesore. That large picture window in xxxxxxxxxx is also an eyesore.	Kwikfit is acknowledged in the document as being a potential site for visual enhancement. The Group decided to not single-out individual homes in the document.
224	Resident 34	Council needs to earmark part of the relevant budget - it cannot all be done with private or lottery funds.	Noted.
225	Resident 35	4.2.2 Parking is a problem in all streets in Tynemouth where there is no residents only restriction and there are no parking charges. Among those affected are Percy Park, Queensway, Princeway and The Drive. There are many instances of inconsiderate and sometimes dangerous parking and on occasions it is too risky to exit ones own drive.	Noted. The document recognises the parking issues in the Village and calls for a comprehensive parking strategy to be prepared.
226	Resident 35	The 20 mile per hour speed limit is ineffective and on particularly popular weekends the situation is exacerbated by motorists driving around and around looking for a space.	Ways in which to slow traffic are supported in document. Breaching the speed limit is a criminal offence that needs to be investigated by the police.
227	Resident 35	4.3.3 Reference is made to too much hard standing but this is an unfortunate result of the parking problem.	This is appreciated but as the loss of green gardens has a negative affect on the character and appearance of the CA, it is considered appropriate to make reference to it.

228	Resident 35	ROADS. With regard to main roads in the area, the red surfacing has been commented on and admired by visitors (and me!). It would be nice to think this could be maintained on those roads not retaining cobbles.	Reference to red surfaces added to "road" section of public realm table.
229	Resident 35	TREES. The suggestion of planting trees on Seafield does not give consideration to residents who enjoy a partial view over the field to the sea. The planting of trees there would deprive us of that pleasure. I do love trees and have several in my back garden.	Document now reads that tree planting should be appropriate species in appropriate locations and "The choice of species should be influenced by the location and the species of trees in the vicinity".
230	Resident 35	I am impressed by the plan, other than my comments above. I would like to thank those who have contributed.	Noted.
231	Resident 36	This is an encouraging and reassuring document. To preserve the character of Tynemouth Village as a safe and lovely place to raise a family whilst encouraging sympathetic regeneration/development is a good thing. With the recent proliferation of bars/cafes/estate agents this is a timely document.	Noted.
232	Resident 36	It is encouraging to see that the areas of Tynemouth which are neglected by council and private owners are being addressed.	Noted.
233	Resident 36	Exciting possibilities with a joined-up approach to enabling a cohesive developmental programme for Tynemouth at last.	Noted.
234	Resident 36	I do not like the idea of a camping/caravan site at the Howl Ings.	The idea of a potential Caravan Park at Howl Ings was included within a Coastal Regeneration Framework prepared three years ago. All things considered, including the heritage and biodiversity value of the area, it is our opinion that a caravan site would not be appropriate here and will be removing the reference from the document.
235	Resident 36	Litter/noise/alcohol/disturbance/traffic implications are problematic.	Noted and appropriate management referenced in the document.
236	Resident 36	Front Street 'Shared Space': In principle this is an interesting idea but I am concerned about safety. The Priory pub, Cumberland Arms and others do NOT control the summer drinking crowds within their barriers in day/evening time. I am frequently forced (with small child) to walk right next to the road or in the road itself. The only safety margin is that the pavement is physically raised above the road at the kerb. If this is removed what will happen?	The shared space idea has been raised in relation to minimising vehicle dominance on Front Street. Agreed that investigations would need to be carried out regarding safety, etc. before being implemented, and any scheme would be subject to a full assessment by Highway engineers. There is a drinking exclusion zone on Front Street which is controlled by the Police. Unfortunately it is beyond the remit of this document.

237	Resident 36	Cumulative Impact Policy: YES PLEASE and quickly! There are already too many pubs/bars in the Village. Please don't let Tynemouth turn into Osborne Road, Jesmond or Whitley Bay. There are also too many estate agents which have multiplied very quickly over the last year. There are surely enough cafes. What we need are more local shops providing for the residents of Tynemouth not just tourists/drinkers.	The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area, however the Planning system cannot completely control the kind of shop. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to lessen the impact of drinking establishments. The Licensing team are undertaking their consultation on this matter now. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.
238	Resident 36	Other Enhancement Opportunities: Public Art/Artists. This can be a vibrant opportunity but can also result in truly awful interventions which are detrimental to their surroundings. One person's artwork may be another person's eyesore. How will this be managed?	Suggestion sets out that any works "would have to be sensitively designed and sited".
239	Resident 36	What happened re: jet skis in Priors Haven? There was quite a lively discussion about this but no further information has been forthcoming.	It is understood that the suggestion of jet skis at the Haven is no longer under consideration.
240	Resident 36	This is a really good, thorough document which pulls together all sorts of fascinating possibilities for Tynemouth. It is reassuring to think such a document could be in place to protect and enhance the exceptionally wonderful qualities of living here alongside visiting/business requirements. Thank you for your efforts so far. My Father in Law lived here 40 years ago and it is exciting to imagine, as a result of this documents protection, how Tynemouth will be in another 40 years time: it should be a beautiful place for my son to inherit. Please keep me informed as to the developments from this strategy and feedback documents etc.	Noted.
241	Resident 36	With the large number of dogs being brought into Tynemouth is there any overall policy re taking dogs into bars/cafes/restaurants? It is inconsistent at the moment. The level of dog mess in the Village is still unacceptably high - is this addressed in the document? The degree of responsibility of the dog owners could be re-enforced with beach signage etc: too many dog owners think their dogs jumping up at myself or my child is just 'playful'- it isn't- it's frightening.	Unfortunately cannot be specifically addressed through this document but issue has been reported to the Council's Estate Clean-Up team and they are aware of the issues. The document does call for the Council to correctly maintain the public realm, including issues like this.

242	Resident 36	Alongside encouraging more public/social/street events/festivals, the question of litter/noise/traffic/alcohol/anti social behaviour needs to be addressed at the same time.	This is appreciated and so "Such events must be well-managed as to not unacceptably affect the amenity of residents." has been added to the appropriate section.
243	Resident 36	In good weather the litter and anti social behaviour in the Village as well as on the beach increases. Apart from being an eyesore the litter is dangerous with a lot of glass/aluminium cans on the beach and leftover pop up barbecues. The use of barbecues on the beach should be banned too.	The Council has no legislation or Byelaws in place that prevent visitors having a BBQ on one of our beaches but there is a code of conduct in place to clear up accordingly including putting the BBQ out before using the bin. Environmental Health Officers enforce this. Other local authorities and land managers have installed BBQ specific facilities at beach and in parks to keep the activity in a designated area where it is easier to manage.
244	Resident 36	Walking through the crowds of drinkers can be quite scary and the language/behaviour completely inappropriate for my child to witness. There needs to be more management of drinkers; not just those standing outside bars etc, but also those who bring their drinks with them and behave aggressively in the Village and on the beaches. This needs to be managed much better.	There is a drinking exclusion zone on Front Street which is controlled by the Police. Unfortunately it is beyond the remit of this document. The document can look to manage the number of new premises, and it also calls for the potential implementation of a Cumulative Impact Policy; the Licensing team are undertaking their consultation on this matter now.
245	Resident 36	What are the controls over official or social network beach party/rave proposals? I completely oppose such events on Tynemouth beaches which should be protected from such use.	Events would require the necessary event licences. Injunctions can be sought to prevent such events from occurring without the necessary permissions.
246	Resident 37	Really interesting document. Other than those living inside a conservation area few of us can have any real understanding of the work necessary to preserve and protect the built landscape we all take for granted.	Noted.

247	Resident 38	<p>It is clear that the increase in licensed premises has rejuvenated Front Street and the type of premises on the whole are welcome and of some benefit. However, enough surely is enough less the character and feel of the village is lost for the sake of a few businesses benefitting from drawing ever more people into a relatively small area increasing concerns of crime, disorder, noise pollution and general disruption for residents. Front Street should not be allowed to become an Osbourne Road or Bigg Market of the coast and I believe a cumulative impact policy would help the Conservation Plan for the area.</p>	<p>This is a response to the Licensing team's Cumulative Impact Policy consultation. These issues are noted in the draft Management Strategy. The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to lessen the impact of drinking establishments. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.</p>
248	Resident 39	<p>I am writing to express my concern about the already high number of licensed premises in the Tynemouth area and the noise nuisance suffered by local residents as a result. There seem to be a very high number of licensed premises in the Tynemouth area and I would welcome some restriction on new licenses or on renewals of existing licenses where the licensing conditions are being abused. Although I love living in Tynemouth and find great pleasure in wandering around the village during the day I certainly do not want to be anywhere near the village centre after 7pm on a weekend evening because of the number of drinkers wandering from one pub to another.</p> <p>In my opinion we ought to have more shops in the village - we have a supermarket but we have no bread shop now and there is no fresh butcher or greengrocer in the village. It seems that every retail unit that has become available in the recent past has been granted a liquor licence and become a pub or wine bar. If that were to stop perhaps we could encourage other retailers to come into the are and open up shops that would be of greater service to the community.</p>	<p>This is a response to the Licensing team's Cumulative Impact Policy consultation. These issues are noted in the draft Management Strategy. The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area, however it cannot control the kind of shop. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to lessen the impact of drinking establishments. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.</p>

249	Resident 40	<p>Please do not restrict licenses in Tynemouth. Residents have already almost removed any hopes of parking when you want to visit. What the residents want is a 'village' where only residents can park, one old quiet pub for the odd drink and read of the newspaper and that's it. It's their choice to live there. Keep Tynemouth vibrant, keep all the fab clubs, pubs, restaurants, coffee shops and gift shops. They are what make Tynemouth an exciting place to visit. Tynemouth is unique and should be a jewel in the crown for the council not turned into some backward thinking old fashioned place. I wonder if any of the council members live in Tynemouth? And are perusing their own ideas rather. We cannot just consider the views of residents , they do not own the place. It is part of Tyne and Wear as a whole.</p>	<p>This is a response to the Licensing team's Cumulative Impact Policy consultation. The draft Management Strategy does not want to rid the Village of bars. It calls for a balance of uses. The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to better manage and lessen the impact of drinking establishments. Again, it is about balance, with no intention for the Village to become old fashioned.</p>
250	Resident 41	<p>Regeneration 4.2: Sport and leisure. On the fifth para in the box, it says there is little provision for public play facilities. This is not true. There are facilities, well-used, in Tynemouth Park, and there will be superb facilities in Northumberland Park later this year. In addition, there are the fields around the Collingwood monument and the Spanish Battery and two beaches which are very popular; further, there are excellent football pitches and yet another play area nearby in Cullercoats. The Green is a memorial garden for people to sit, and the triangular open space at the Seafield attracts supervised younger children and kite flyers and rounders matches despite it being very close to traffic. All ages are well catered for and there is therefore no need to moan about a lack of facilities. In fact, we are spoiled with so many facilities: when my child was young, the beach in particular was an irresistible lure and if enhanced with toilet facilities, it would be brilliant. No need for more play areas, thank you.</p>	<p>Suggestion for play areas for younger children has been removed - it is considered that there is sufficient coverage in the wider area. Document now suggests facilities for older children and adults such as an outdoor gym. This is suggested as a low priority.</p>

251	Resident 41	Public Realm section 4.3.3 in the boxed area on trees. You only have to look at the one remaining tree [a sycamore] on the Seafield to see that it is hardly 'happy', growing significantly lop-sidedly due to incessant wind blowing. It does survive however, but I am not sure six very lop-sided trees would look better than that one does in that location. Further, they would need to be protected for many years, as the last attempts were, excepting that one, all kicked down by jobs, or blew down when the flimsy supports were blown away or stolen. I am not convinced that naming specific locations for trees is such a good idea. Trees may be welcome in many places, but not necessarily in the most exposed ones. This all needs further advice on suitability and whether historically Tynemouth was not always a fairly exposed place with few trees. Section 5.7 also refers.	Document now reads that tree planting should be appropriate species in appropriate locations and "The choice of species should be influenced by the location and the species of trees in the vicinity".
252	Resident 41	However I fully support enforcement to stop protected trees being pulled down; the last time I remember was at the Station where several listed trees were pulled down by Station Developments, without permission, and not replaced.	Noted. Correct protection and management of trees is supported in document, as well as correct enforcement of breaches.
253	Resident 41	Public Realm Guidelines on parking: The Howl Ings and others such as by the Sea Life Centre to divert traffic from the core can only work if there is some sort of bus or road train to help as it is too far for older people to walk. This is also in 5.6 Front St: any loss of parking there would not be compensated by the Howl Ings as that is too far and uphill as it is. Also get rid of the 2 hour limit at the Howl Ings... it really doesn't help!	Document suggests road train to serve these locations, and for less restrictions on the Howl Ings car park.

254	Resident 41	In the same section, it refers to hedging in Front Street. That which is already there is plastic, and I am sure that is not what was intended, a profusion of plastic hedging. Problem is that the "hedging" referred to to soften impact, would be at the owners expense as it is now, unless hedging is proposed on the footpaths or in the road. In that sense, hedging is probably not a good idea, but larger trees in very large pots might look good, but again there is a tidying and maintenance issue as they will attract litter such as beer cans, chip wrappings etc and if in pots will need regular feeding and watering. So it must be emphasised that planting must be accompanied by a maintenance and support programme. This has been allowed for in Northumberland Park but similarly applies here.	Document now reads that tree planting should be appropriate species in appropriate locations and "The choice of species should be influenced by the location and the species of trees in the vicinity".
255	Resident 41	I would also suggest that tree planting is aspirational in the main, as decent-sized trees are expensive to buy and plant.	noted.
256	Resident 41	Section 5.4 on 'Play Area'. I made comments in regeneration to the effect the the whole area is well-served with play facilities. I think this whole box, and the earlier references to insufficient play provision, should not be there at all.	Suggestion for play areas for younger children has been removed - it is considered that there is sufficient coverage in the wider area. Document now suggests facilities for older children and adults such as an outdoor gym. This is suggested as a low priority.
257	Resident 41	The idea of shared space for Front St could be excellent.	Noted.
258	Resident 41	I would like to see estate agents signs not allowed in the TCA. There are far too many, they are an eyesore. For example, there are four for one property in Percy Park, although one of those was blown [or pushed?] down.	Estate agents board issue now mentioned in public realm and enforcement section, which urges action against unauthorised adverts and better consideration from those advertising.



259	Resident 41	Full to overflowing litter bins at the beaches and elsewhere attract vermin and seagulls. They should not be left to the next day to be emptied, especially at weekends or holidays or at any time throughout the summer.	This issue is beyond the remit of this document However, a paragraph has been added to the Public Realm section that calls for good management of issues such as this so they don't serve to spoil the more large-scale endeavours.
260	Senior Manager, Cultural Services	In relation to the library aspect on p.65: a) to drop the first sentence of the second bullet point entirely; b) b) to change the first part of the sentence in the third bullet point to "Its use could be for some public purpose,." Any speculation as to the linkage to the library may not be helpful in the long run.	Amended as suggested.
261	Resident 42	I've been reading through the draft documentation and wanted to comment on the parking arrangements in place whilst the Tynemouth station market is active at a weekend. Whilst I believe the market is a really positive event for Tynemouth, provision for parking is not good and I wanted to flag this for consideration. Parked cars line adjacent streets making access/ egress difficult.	Parking is cited as an issue in the Village and the document looks to alleviate the problem by suggesting a park and ride scheme, a coastal road train, better cycle facilities, increased car parking at Ares beyond the Village core. Document also calls for a comprehensive, renewed traffic and parking strategy to be prepared by the relevant team. Unfortunately any parking changes are beyond the remit of this document so it can't implement these suggestions itself.
262	Resident 43	I have read the plan and I would like to say that it strikes me as a very detailed and sensible plan. In particular I agree with the following items. 1. The boundary review and the suggested changes. 2. The upgrading of toilet and changing facilities on the beaches - which with the surfers are needed all year round. 3. A formal start/meet/storage/information area for cyclist on the Sustrans routes. Tynemouth needs to be known as the start/finish. 4. Better use of the Priors Haven area which is neglected and could be better used. 5. A scheme for the outdoor pool/Lions Head spring. 6. Unity of houses and the tidy development of terraces especially the rooflines. 7. The completion of the scheme in the grounds of Tynemouth Station (elements of the restoration already showing neglect).	Noted. All aspects covered in the document.

263	Resident 43	Our main concern is that the area of Front Street is becoming a uni-business area, namely just pubs, clubs and restaurants. One of the ways of ensuring other shops and businesses survive in the Village is to restrict the further licensing of pubs, clubs, etc. Tynemouth has changed and will change but we need to protect the Village atmosphere so that is it attractive to more than just late night drinkers.	This is a response to the Licensing team's Cumulative Impact Policy consultation. These issues are noted in the draft Management Strategy. The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to lessen the impact of drinking establishments. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.
264	Tynemouth TA	Regarding trees along Tynemouth Rd: We have no objections at all and would support any efforts to make the place more attractive. The only issue would be the type and size of trees planted due to security reasons.	Document supports appropriate trees in appropriate locations.
265	Resident 44	P29 Public Realm, boxed Guidelines, on Parking: Need to specify that the Howl lngs 2 hour limit on parking needs to be removed to allow all-day parking if it is aimed to divert vehicles from the Village.	Amended.
266	Resident 44	P29 Public Realm, boxed Guidelines, on Trees: Not sure if Sycamores growing at such an angle as the one that is there, and the height they grow to, are the best solution on the Seafield or anywhere where they might obstruct a view. Maybe something that does not grow to such a height, or which can withstand the winds better than the Sycamore. Tree planting on streets needs careful consideration because of damage by roots to foundations and lifting the pavements; this needs to be mentioned each time tree planting is mentioned in the document, not just in this section. Further, the document needs to specify how the maintenance and upkeep of the planted trees will be accomplished and at whose expense.	The section states that planting should consist of "appropriate tree planting in appropriate areas". This covers all those considerations such as what species and where to plant so that damage would not be caused. It is not within the remit of this document to specifically cover these considerations - it requires specific expertise. "Trees should only be planted where the Council can commit to their ongoing maintenance." has been added to ensure that planting is maintained.
267	Resident 44	P55 Enhancement Opportunity: boxed Play Area: this section should be deleted since it has already been identified that there is sufficient, play areas and facilities for all ages and with the new one at Northumberland Park, TCA will be well provided for.	Document has been amended so that it does not say that there are insufficient facilities. Suggestion for play areas for younger children has been removed - it is considered that there is sufficient coverage in the wider area. Document now suggests facilities for older children and adults such as an outdoor gym. This is suggested as a low priority.

268	Resident 44	P59 Enhancement Opportunity: Box Tynemouth Priory and Castle: last point on the pedestrian gate, which was put there originally to stop people sneaking into the grounds and to stop them climbing up the cliffs which presented not only security but also safety issues when there was no gate. This needs to be questioned specifically with EH rather than included in the document as it is.	English Heritage have informed us of their health and safety reasons for the lack of access. Document amended to acknowledge this and asking EH to consider appropriate signage and perhaps consider opening up in the future is possible.
269	Resident 44	P60 Enhancement Opportunity" Spanish Battery: last point is about modest plating: since it is a wind-swept open space, the modest planting should relate to lowish shrubs that would ordinarily grow in such an open spot rather than trees that would end up growing horizontally.	"appropriate to the location" has been added.
270	Resident 44	P61 Enhancement Opportunity Box on Collingwood: we should recommend that there is a proper info board at the monument itself, not just a plaque.	"but a further board could be sited for those approaching from Pier Road." added.
271	Resident 44	P 64 in Enhancement Opportunity, on Shared space in relation to tree planting: Not a good idea to even mention lost car parking spaces on Front St, as may cause more objections by traders etc. The suggestion of a road train or shuttle bus has to be included if we are talking anywhere about reduced parking provision. Also, if there is to be provision 'elsewhere' this needs to be specified, so giving Howl lngs as an example is not enough.	Sentence removed - there is no evidence that parking spaces would be removed in the future so it should not be mentioned.
272	Resident 44	P66 Enhancement Opportunity" Front St Other Opportunities. Last point refers to 'balanced and varied as possible' to make sure a single use does not dominate: but it already does dominate... so how to express this without making an awful imagery of Tynemouth, yet we know that it is now a no-go area on Saturday nights especially?	This Strategy looks 5-10 years to the future. If it is considered that one use dominates now, then it is the aim of the document to manage that better into the future. The issues of too many bars etc. in the area are expressed in the document.

273	Resident 45	<p>4.2.2 Sport and leisure. The provision of play facilities. I feel that the greenfield site which has Percy Park, Percy Park Road and Seafield View surrounding the area is not appropriate to be developed as a play park. This site which you refer to as Seafield is unsafe as a play area for young children due to the volume of traffic in the surrounding streets. Also it is widely used by other groups on an adhoc basis. In order to make it safe i.e. enclosed and safe from dogs, football games, rounders games etc it would need a substantial enclosure which would detract from the beauty of the view and be costly. This would also be opposed by all the residents.</p> <p>As the view across to the sea would be destroyed, the residents would need to be compensated for this financially. However I agree that proposals to regenerate the outdoor pool are necessary and could add to 'play facilities'. The green area around the monument overlooking the river could house a play park - again it would need to be enclosed for safety but at least this would be near to car parking facilities within a safe walking distance. However, given that we have some of the most beautiful beaches - should we not be concentrating on improving those and their associate leisure activities?</p>	the Seafield is not mentioned as a potential play area. Text has been amended to make this clearer.
274	Resident 46	<p>4.6.2. I agree that both Pier cottage and 35 Front Street let the area down, If our library is to stay then perhaps it could be incorporated into that. Also the condition of Pier Cottage continues to deteriorate, as the current owner appears not to be developing the site sympathetically perhaps positive action is needed on behalf of the Council (?compulsory purchase)</p>	Pier Cottage is being investigated by the Planning Enforcement team. As stated in the document, appropriate enforcement action can be pursued in those cases where it is considered necessary. The document suggests that 35 Front St could be used for public use.

275	Resident 47	<p>Section 4.3.3, p.28, Trees. A robust programme of tree-planting should be undertaken. Trees provide a great many ecosystem services, and sensitive placing of them would also enhance the visual attractiveness of the conservation area. It may not be the best strategy to place too much reliance on self-seeding by sycamore and ash to maintain tree density. Sycamore is a vigorous self-seeder, capable of forming dense thickets of saplings of an untidy appearance. Ash is potentially under threat from ash dieback disease. Advice should be sought as to which other native species are suitable for planting in the conservation area.</p>	<p>The document states that trees should be appropriate species in appropriate locations. This would have to be investigated before any planting was pursued.</p>
276	Resident 47	<p>Section 4.4.2, pp. 30, 36 and elsewhere, uPVC. There appears to be considerable hostility to the use of uPVC for door and window frames. I suggest this borders on the unreasonable. In the matter of aesthetics, it needs to be borne in mind that favouring one building style over another is ultimately a matter of taste and that the 'traditional' style now seen as so desirable arose out of the limitations of the technology of the day e.g. the inability to cast large sheets of window glass. Owners of buildings replace wood with uPVC for sound reasons. As a technology it does not seem to be particularly guilty of transgressing standards of 'taste'. It can be incorporated into well designed facades and frontages. At a distance it is often difficult to tell whether window frames, for example, are constructed from wood or uPVC. Insistence on using wood only could reduce the pool of people able to meet the expense of maintaining buildings in the 'traditional' style or something approaching it.</p>	<p>The Local Planning Authority has a duty to preserve or enhance the character and appearance of the conservation area. Plastic windows, rainwater goods, etc. are generally not comparable in terms of appearance to traditional materials. According to English Heritage (the Government's adviser on heritage management) the most significant threat to the character of conservation areas comes from the loss of historic building details such as traditional windows and doors, boundary walls and roof coverings. It would be unreasonable to not promote their use in this document that aims to preserve or enhance the conservation area. The Council promotes the use of traditional materials in properties in the conservation area for several reasons, as outlined in our Window Guidance Note. Good quality maintenance, repair if needed and appropriate modifications are the recommended course of action, which should prove less costly than replacement.</p>

			<p>The planning system, however, is pragmatic so should plastic windows be available that did look the same as traditional materials, it could be assessed favourably. Whilst what is being said is appreciated, the planning system is there to act in the public not private interest. Clearly, there is no wish to add to people's financial burden, though the local planning authority do have a duty of care to our built heritage. The fact that a property is listed/in a conservation area will always be picked up in any land search and purchasers can then decide whether to go ahead in full cognisance of any restrictions and financial risks.</p>
277	Resident 47	<p>I suggest that giving any priority to the ambitious scheme to restore and enhance the Tynemouth Pool is a mistake. The remnants of the previous outdoor pool are certainly an eyesore. One of the principal reasons for this, is its exposed position and the associated battering it receives from the sea. Any new buildings and pool will also be at serious risk of damage from the sea, particularly if the predictions of climate change, increased sea level and increased frequency of severe storms prove to be correct. The proposers of the restoration of the pool might like to consider what damage might have been done by the ocean surge seen at Longsands on December 5th, 2013, had a new pool been under construction.</p>	<p>Plans for the Pool are still being developed by The Friends of the Pool.</p>

278	Resident 47	I suggest that the best option for the beach occupied by the old pool would be to remove all remnants of it and restore the beach to its natural condition. I would urge the Friends of the Tyneside Pool to reconsider their plans in the light of recent evidence on the likelihood of increased storms and associated damage. It would be unfortunate if in time to come, construction of an outdoor pool of the kind illustrated came to be seen as a serious mistake both visually and financially.	Plans for the Pool are still being developed by The Friends of the Pool. We understand the Pool is a fundamental part of the sea defence at the southern end of Long Sands so its removal would require careful consideration.
279	Resident 48	I am in favour of a community led conservation management strategy and hope that balance can be maintained between the views of the various interested parties. Needs of the residents and other businesses, particularly on Front Street, have not been taken sufficiently into account. There are too many licensed premises.	The document has been prepared by the local community, consisting of a range of parties, and there has been a comprehensive consultation for all interested parties to contribute. The document recognises that licensed premises are a concern. The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to lessen the impact of drinking establishments. The Licensing team are undertaking their consultation on this matter now. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.
280	Resident 48	There is a wealth of information about the heritage of the area. Could the empty premises next to the library be used for information and good quality photographic displays, at least in the short term.? A bit of an eyesore at the moment; a coat of paint and removal of the projecting sign would help.	The building's condition is highlighted in the document. It is difficult to ascertain what the future uses of this buildings could be, however the document does suggest it could be for some public use.
281	Resident 48	Sport and Leisure. Could Priors Haven be developed. As the end of the coast to Coast cycle route there would be scope for a cafe , secure cycle park and public facilities in the car park. I think Pier Cafe [in the cottage] would be a draw and a new footbridge, with a landscaped path to the Pier below, sounds a good idea. And a children's playground in this area? i think this unique bay is underutilised and should be upgraded to High Priority.	These suggestions appear to be broadly in line with those included in the document. It is noted as a High priority in the document.

282	Resident 48	The Lions head fountain is lovely and should be exposed.	Noted - supported in document.
283	Resident 48	Front Street. Too many bars are in danger of spoiling this lovely street. Parking is a nightmare and late night taxis cause noise and litter. Although flavour of the month at the moment the scene inevitably moves on. What will happen to the 'image' of Front street when the existing businesses have to reduce their prices and compromise their images to remain viable?	The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to lessen the impact of drinking establishments. The Licensing team are undertaking their consultation on this matter now. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.
284	Resident 48	Improvement of the seafront and riverside can only benefit the area	Noted.
285	Resident 48	5.3 5 The library site and adjacent building badly needs redevelopment. Fill in the gap by building to replace the flat roofed building. This building cannot have generated much income for the council for many years. Sell it? And of course keep the library..	Improvements to use and appearance and a possible rebuild are supported in the document.
286	Resident 48	Re Priors Haven and Howl lngs. Improvement of the rowing club particularly is long overdue. All of this area needs refurbishment and opening up to the public. Cafe, Children's playground? toilets and some sort of welcome for cyclists. Not a campsite.	These suggestions appear to be broadly in line with those included in the document. It is noted as a High priority in the document. The idea of a potential Caravan Park at Howl lngs was included within a Coastal Regeneration Framework prepared three years ago. All things considered, including the heritage and biodiversity value of the area, it is our opinion that a caravan site would not be appropriate here and will be removing the reference from the document.
287	Resident 48	I think a there are better ways of spending the limited money which will be available than a new piece of public art.	It is included as a long-term aspiration - with other suggestions in the document deemed of higher priority.



288	Resident 48	My main concern is the deterioration of Front Street. If it becomes an unattractive destination it will affect the whole area. I am very much in favour of a Cumulative Impact Strategy.	Noted. The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to lessen the impact of drinking establishments. The Licensing team are undertaking their consultation on this matter now. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.
289	Resident 49	2.2.1 "There is great scope for improvement" - I don't think the scope is "great"	"great" has been removed.
290	Resident 49	I am not convinced that the Outdoor Pool has much potential, or why it should be high priority - other than making sure that it is kept tidy. Attempts to re-instate it as an outdoor pool would be costly and of very limited benefit- quite likely to look just as much of an eyesore as the present condition. I am not at all convinced of the business potential - we could easily be left with a non-viable facility which would be even more of an eyesore.	Plans for the Pool are still being developed by The Friends of the Pool.
291	Resident 49	hope that common sense will prevail over the implementation of this mostly sensible strategy, and resist attempts to convert the present community into either a museum or a chocolate box vision of some mythical romantic idea.	The document hopes to balance and manage appropriate change into the future.
292	Resident 50	Chapter 1: Agree	Noted.
293	Resident 50	Chapter 2: Agree	Noted.
294	Resident 50	Chapter 3: Agree	Noted.

295	Resident 50	Chapter 4: Agree but would like to note:4.2.1 Context. While the village is popular as a night time destination for drinking and eating. I would like the council to investigate whether most of the bars and restaurants have been purchased by the same owner and whether this is in the best interests of the village. There is a sense of corrupt practice and local "mafia" investment .One proposed bar extension (previously a shop) has been purchased and left empty for over a year.	Ownership of premises is not a consideration for planning processes or this document.
296	Resident 50	4.2.2 Front St. The ambience of Front St is dominated by the car park and through traffic including buses. The taxi rank creates noise and fumes. The period housing suffers from shaking with the onslaught of traffic and filth from the fumes.	Parking is cited as an issue in the Village and the document looks to alleviate the problem by suggesting a park and ride scheme, a coastal road train, better cycle facilities, increased car parking at areas outside the Village core, and also asks that a comprehensive traffic and parking strategy is pursued.
297	Resident 50	Chapter 5 agree especially the need to implement the Tynemouth Outside Pool Lido and facilities for surfers.	Noted.
298	Resident 50	Overall It seems very thorough and full of good sense. I wait to see what changes are made!	Noted.
299	Resident 51	Chapter 1: No contentions.	Noted.
300	Resident 51	Chapter 2: No contentions.	Noted.
301	Resident 51	3.2.2: Boundaries of the conservation area are strange, and do not cover areas that are classified as "Tynemouth Village", such as Albury Park Road. This is at odds with the designated boundary of Tynemouth itself, which in that particular direction runs to Tynemouth Golf Course and Northumberland Park.	Conservation areas are those with special character and appearance that it is desirable to preserve or enhance. They do not delineate geographical boundaries.
302	Resident 51	4.2.2: It seems as though there is a call for increased play facilities in Tynemouth; this is not required in the slightest, there is sufficient open space without the need for additional facilities. "Play parks" are not required in order for children to play, are generally not considered aesthetically attractive, and have the undesired downside as targeted areas for unsavoury characters.	Document has been amended so that it does not say that there are insufficient facilities. Suggestion for play areas for younger children has been removed - it is considered that there is sufficient coverage in the wider area. Document now suggests facilities for older children and adults such as an outdoor gym. This is suggested as a low priority.

303	Resident 51	For Tynemouth Station, there should be no call to insert any facilities other than the essentials into the historic station canopy: there have been murmurs about the possibility of some form of shopping outlet (eg a supermarket) in the station, and this should ABSOLUTELY NOT be allowed to be constructed.	Planning permission for a retail store was granted on appeal in 2009.
304	Resident 52	I strongly disagree with this proposal...I believe this cumulative impact policy is directly responsible for the current state of Whitley bay and would hate to see this happen to Tynemouth...Wake up North Tyneside Council...	This is a response to the Licensing team's Cumulative Impact Policy consultation. The draft Management Strategy does not want to rid the Village of bars. It calls for a balance of uses suitable for the character of this area. The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to better manage and lessen the impact of drinking establishments.
305	Resident 53	The document is very interesting and mostly commendable.	Noted.
306	Resident 53	Tynemouth has some fantastic natural and architectural assets which need protection but the Village cannot safely sustain any further extra development. It DOES need a much better mix of retail outlets as envisaged by the former One North East that helps reverse the march of the supermarkets and supports independent retailers who offer superb products backed up by personal service	The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area, however the planning system cannot control the kind of shop. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to lessen the impact of drinking establishments. The Licensing team are undertaking their consultation on this matter now. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.

307	Resident 53	<p>TRAFFIC - There is a fundamental mismatch between Tynemouth's popularity and its ability through its infrastructure to cope primarily with the volume of traffic. Tynemouth at weekends is a virtually gridlocked with traffic and often indiscriminate parking. This was highlighted at the public enquiry a few years ago about Tynemouth Station by the Highways Dept. Nothing has been done and the situation gets worse week by week. Much was made at the time by both the Council and the Village Association and Friends of Tynemouth Station about the integrated transport system but nothing has been done to promote this....and of course apart from the nuisance to residents, road safety dangers, its environmentally unsound to continue in this way. I also note the observation on p.27 about a summer park and ride scheme being proposed. Why just summer ? The traffic problem is all year round every weekend, actually summer is often slightly quieter Get traffic out instead of bringing it in PLEASE!</p>	<p>Parking is cited as an issue in the Village and the document looks to alleviate the problem by suggesting a park and ride scheme, a coastal road train, better cycle facilities, increased car parking at areas outside the Village core, and also asks that a comprehensive traffic and parking strategy is pursued. Reference to summer removed - appreciate the issues are year-round.</p>
308	Resident 53	<p>Speeding Traffic - no monitoring, Manor Road where I live is like a race track 7 days per week whether its parents dropping children off at Kings, taxis, buses, boy racers, motor bikers!! An accident waiting to happen.</p>	<p>Ways in which to slow traffic are supported in document. Breaching the speed limit is a criminal offence that needs to be investigated by the police.</p>
309	Resident 53	<p>The Village Green up until last year, this was a delightful garden with all year round flowering, a beautiful centre piece for the village, its residents and visitors alike. A combination of the Council claiming pigeons were pinching the plants at a cost of £175 and the Village Association./Business Forum insisting on running a food festival on what is a Memorial Garden, leaves us with a colourless display (except a few tubs) for 48 weeks of the year. The irony is that the people drawing up the strategy are the ones doing the damage! I believe that a decision has been made not to run the Food Festival on the Village Green again, I hope this is true? Given the millions poured in to Tynemouth Station for such events and/or Front Street, it is bizarre that people claiming to support these venues and strategy documents want to ruin the Green. Please restore it to its former colourful glory.</p>	<p>"As a memorial garden, its sensitivities need to be carefully considered for any proposed developments or activities." has been added.</p>

310	Resident 53	<p>Tynemouth Priory - the Priory should be a stunning and enjoyable focal point for the village. Two things detract from this. First, I agree wholeheartedly with the document about the metal fences erected and closing off the walk through to the Haven. which was a disgrace for the reasons stated. I was informed that it was to stop anti social behaviour, especially at night time. The only people to suffer were/are residents and visitors who respect the amenity, the anti-social crowd just jump over the fence out of hours anyway. Second, the maintenance of the grounds exterior to the Priory is virtually non-existent with waist high nettles and a third rate botanical species, Alexanders, swamping the place and creating such an eyesore. This needs managing (n.b. Alexanders is spreading rapidly across the banks of the castle and the estuary is getting out of control)</p>	<p>Access arrangements are discussed in the document, with the request that English Heritage investigate. Good standards of public realm and ground maintenance are encouraged in the document.</p>
311	Resident 53	<p>Station Market - I note the observations that the Station Market is running successfully and making a positive contribution to the village. It does bring people into the village undoubtedly but it does have some negative impacts such as generating serious traffic congestion every weekend e.g. Top of Manor Road/Holy Saviours roundabout as visitors who cannot be bothered to walk anywhere clog up the road to get in or out of the service road. The document is off the mark in suggesting that it is 'eating and drinking at weekends' that cause traffic and parking problems, its the market! Every weekend traders queue up with radio blaring and standing outside of vans chatting with no regard to residents from 7am onwards and they also park over bus stops and on the York stone pavement in front of the Victoria Monument. I suspect York stone isn't meant for heavy traffic?</p>	<p>Traffic and parking is cited as an issue in the Village and the document looks to alleviate the problem by suggesting a park and ride scheme, a coastal road train, better cycle facilities, increased car parking at areas outside the Village core, and also asks that a comprehensive traffic and parking strategy is pursued. Reference to Tynemouth Village as a eating and drinking destination as the main reason for traffic has been removed - replaced with "visitor destination".</p>
312	Resident 53	<p>Coop Store - this seems to have been regularly singled out by many prominent resident/ committee sitters for criticism, and it is certainly singled out again in this document. I agree that the shop front could be improved but then again so could Subway. Is there criticism of the rubbish generated by customers of Subway or Marshall's Fish and Chip Restaurant. What about the unsightly posters on the side of The Salutation extolling the virtues of cheap beer food deals or the next months football matches.</p>	<p>There are potentially several premises that could benefit from improvement and the design guidelines set out how this could be achieved. The Coop represents more than just a shop front issue with its forecourt issues too - hence more emphasis on this premises. Litter issues are mentioned in the document. Promotional adverts that are to the detriment of the area's appearance are now mentioned.</p>

313	Resident 53	Trees - this seems to be a recurring theme throughout the document and I know XXXXX has often promoted all sorts of planting ideas in recent years including in some bizarre places. e.g. At the Spanish Battery! The conservation area is well served by a variety of species and has more than adequate TPO protection. It is a coastal resort and I'm glad the document recognises the importance of open spaces and views so I hope planting, if necessary is done in a considered way and does not hinder the special sea views that we have and treasure. Also we do not need trees planted on pavements in Front Street as the pavements are already an obstacle course with drinkers, business promotional 'A' frames.....and we don't need planting at The Haven just a lot of tidying and the provision of seats, and Port of Tyne to paint fences and open the Pier far more than it does now.	The document states that trees should be appropriate species in appropriate locations. This would have to be investigated before any planting was pursued. Suggestions for Haven area are broadly in line with those covered in the document.
314	Resident 53	Howl lngs and caravanning - I was disappointed to see this re-emerge as Cllr Lilly had given me assurances that it was dead and buried. Yes its a sensitive site, its another open space, its too small for any worthwhile commercial development, it would generate more traffic and congestion with people towing , its a barmy suggestion. I have caravanned for 8 years now to access this site would be a nightmare as well... please put this one to bed!	The idea of a potential Caravan Park at Howl lngs was included within a Coastal Regeneration Framework prepared three years ago. All things considered, including the heritage and biodiversity value of the area, it is our opinion that a caravan site would not be appropriate here and will be removing the reference from the document.
315	Resident 53	Narrowing the road at the Village Green. - this will just cause congestion both on the main road and side roads and is unnecessary, how many people want this? One?	As stated in the document, this is a suggestion and any highways works would have to take account of Highways advice.
316	Resident 54	We need many more trees planted in Tynemouth – good to have some in Front Street.	The document states that trees should be appropriate species in appropriate locations. This would have to be investigated before any planting was pursued.
317	Resident 54	Traffic and parking awful problem – even worse now Kings is an academy. Suggest underground park somewhere – perhaps under the green.	Traffic and parking is cited as an issue in the Village and the document looks to alleviate the problem by suggesting a park and ride scheme, a coastal road train, better cycle facilities, increased car parking at areas outside the Village core, and also asks that a comprehensive traffic and parking strategy is pursued.
318	Resident 54	No social housing in Tynemouth, but more is needed – Tynemouth needs better social and racial mix of people. Rents are very high. Some affordable housing is needed, Knotts Flats are social I know.	This would appear to be a housing market issue that is beyond the remit of this document.
319	Resident 54	Outdoor pool excellent – Council needs to put money into this.	Plans for the Pool are still being developed by The Friends of the Pool.

320	Resident 54	New parking arrangements in High Street appalling – looks terrible too – need to re-think this.	Document calls for a comprehensive traffic and parking strategy to be pursued, and for a major analysis of Front Street.
321	Resident 54	No more cafes and pubs please in High Street. Encourage small businesses i.e. shops.	The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area, however the planning system cannot control the kind of shop. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to lessen the impact of drinking establishments. The Licensing team are undertaking their consultation on this matter now. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.
322	Resident 54	Make sure plastic windows, doors ect are not allowed on period houses.	Document supports the use of appropriate traditional materials in traditional properties. It calls for potential further Article 4 Directions to be investigated.
323	Resident 54	Toddlers playground. No facilities for toddlers at the moment. A lot of people here don't have gardens. Playground really needed too far to walk to Northumberland Park.	It is considered that there are sufficient facilities in the wider area.
324	Resident 54	We need a community centre especially for young people and the elderly.	"community use" added for suggestions for 35 Front St.
325	Resident 54	Traffic problem appalling, noise levels here increased enormously in last few years. Enforce 20 mile limit too.	Ways in which to slow traffic are supported in document. Breaching the speed limit is a criminal offence that needs to be investigated by the police.
326	Resident 54	Kings Academy should not be allowed to build exterior lift extension it ruins the look of the building.	The document is not the place to discuss individual planning applications. It sets out the guidelines on how it is felt all proposals should be assessed. The building in question is listed so any application would be very carefully scrutinised under those provisions too.
327	Resident 54	Toy museum was popular facility how the Council let that go I don't know. Renovate building and develop it – perhaps as another seaside museum/arts centre or back to toy museum.	This property is outside of this conservation area boundary so hasn't been considered as part of this document.
328	Resident 54	Footpath development on the edge of golf course.	The golf course is outside of this conservation area boundary so hasn't been considered as part of this document. But, walking and good maintenance of footpaths are encouraged in Enhancement section.

329	Resident 54	Bike lane developments essential.	Noted. Improvements to cycling facilities, including suitable lanes are supported in the document.
330	Resident 55	Increase anti-dog fouling patrols, with heavy fines.	Unfortunately cannot be specifically addressed through this document but issues have been reported to the Council's Estate Clean-Up team. The document does call for the Council to correctly maintain the public realm, including issues such as this.
331	Resident 55	Stop all parking on Front Street, except for bus and delivery vehicles, at designated drop-off points. Make Front Street a car-free zone, like Northumberland Street, Newcastle upon Tyne.	It is considered that making Front Street a car-free zone would be detrimental to its businesses. We need to ensure the vitality of local businesses. However the document does call for ways in which to alleviate traffic dominance on Front Street.
332	Resident 55	Opening times for pubs from 12pm until 2pm, and 6pm until 10pm. For restaurants allow alcohol with meals only.	The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to lessen the impact of drinking establishments. The Licensing team are undertaking their consultation on this matter now.
333	Resident 55	Bar and restaurant staff repeatedly sweep cigarette ends into road gullies. They should be fined.	The Estate Clean-up teams can issue fines to those caught littering. This is not within the remit of this document.
334	Resident 55	Enforce the 20mph speed limit. Black spots are Manor Way, Tynemouth Road and Millview Drive.	Ways in which to slow traffic are supported in document. Breaching the speed limit is a criminal offence that needs to be investigated by the police.
335	Resident 55	Fine cyclists who cycle on pavement.	This is not within the remit of this document. However the document does call for improved cycle lanes to prevent cycling on the pavement.
336	Resident 55	Stop all parking on pavements. This causes damage, obstruction and is a hazard to pedestrians, particularly the elderly and people with prams. Recently I saw a police car parked on a paved stretch in the middle of Front Street. There were a number of vacant parking spots a few feet away.	Any breaches of this nature are for parking enforcement officers to pursue. Unfortunately, this is beyond the remit of this document.
337	Resident 56	We are very pleased that efforts are being made to maintain and enhance Tynemouth village, which we love and are very proud of. We would like to add our own comments about Tynemouth development now and in the future.	Noted.



338	Resident 56	<p>One of the things which make Tynemouth so unique is that its adjoining Sea/river frontages divide into three distinct environments which are already used in different ways by visitors and local people alike. Firstly, the Long Sands, where large numbers of people and families swim, play and enjoy water sports and there is space for developing further facilities. (It would be wonderful if the Outdoor Swimming pool could be redeveloped or tidied up permanently in some way.) Secondly, there is King Edwards bay, preferred by those who like to spend their time on a quieter beach. The third area is that of the Pier, the Haven and the River walk. Here people are able to move around, and bird -watchers, walkers and cyclists can enjoy some exercise whilst enjoying the peace and the views. It would be tragic if future developments changed the character of any of these areas.</p>	<p>Noted. Statements made are broadly in line with the sentiments and suggestions of the document.</p>
339	Resident 56	<p>One issue is that of alcohol in Front Street. There are simply too many premises licensed to sell alcohol. Many people apparently come to Tynemouth solely to drink, resulting in unpleasant and loud behaviour which may not be bad enough to be called 'antisocial' but is noisy and unsettling. We ourselves therefore avoid using Front Street when it is busy during the day as well as evenings, especially on Bank Holidays and fine week-ends. Probably many visitors, especially those with children, decide to do the same. This will have a knock-on effect on trade in the area. No doubt many others have given similar comments!</p>	<p>The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to lessen the impact of drinking establishments. The Licensing team are undertaking their consultation on this matter now. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.</p>

340	Resident 56	<p>The other issue is the problem of inadequate public parking in general. Park and ride schemes might ease the general congestion. Perhaps Tanners Bank, and Linskill School grounds would provide some space? Parking is also a major problem where we live in Manorway, a narrow street off Manor Road. The junction at Manor Road can be obscured by cars parked on both streets, which often makes entering and leaving Manorway extremely dangerous. When cars are parked on the footpath on both sides of Manorway (to the detriment of the paving stones), the street is still so narrow that emergency and other larger vehicles may not be able to pass through. Inadequate parking for staff at Priory school adds to the problem during term time, and week-ends are particularly bad because of the Station Market. As a result, parked cars make it difficult, dangerous and occasionally impossible for us to get our own car out from the drive onto the street. In the last few months when our own car has been parked in the street it has been scraped by passing cars on three occasions, needing repair. Some form of parking restriction or control would be helpful.</p>	<p>Traffic and parking is cited as an issue in the Village and the document looks to alleviate the problem by suggesting a park and ride scheme, a coastal road train, better cycle facilities, increased car parking at areas outside the Village core, and also asks that a comprehensive traffic and parking strategy is pursued.</p>
-----	-------------	--	--

341	Resident 57	<p>Relatively brief mention is given to the problems created in Front Street by the proliferation of licensed premises and the fear that it could turn into another Osborne Road Jesmond (P. 20). Consideration must be given to the effect even the current situation has on the residents of Front Street and the adjacent area of Tynemouth Village. Since the authors of this Consultation Draft Document have taken considerable effort to mention the problem a clearer solution might have been sought. North Tyneside Council must stand guilty of poor management here; the Licensing Department and the various sub-committees have regularly treated residents concerns with contempt and have gladly granted licences regardless of local concerns. Here the draft document does emphasise the "significant impact on public enjoyment giving rise to anti-social behaviour"(P. 23). North Tyneside Council must accept full responsibility for this failure. Only a firm and long overdue Cumulative Impact Policy (P. 21, Box Item 4) relating to Front Street will solve this problem which is wildly out of control. The boxed item on P. 65 makes a positive recommendation here. Indeed, I might go further and suggest that a moratorium on any future licence applications should be recommended. Front Street, regrettably, does not enjoy a "balanced and varied" range of businesses (P.65)- something that was very much an ideal in the Character Statement of 2003. Incidentally, the thought that future developments such as the Outdoor Pool and Priors Haven might seek a licensed bar is cause for concern.</p>	<p>Mention is given in the document to the issues related to licensed premises on several occasions and addresses the issue in the most effective way that a planning policy document of this nature can do. The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to lessen the impact of drinking establishments. The Licensing team are undertaking their consultation on this matter now. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.</p>
342	Resident 57	<p>It was a ludicrous and irresponsible decision to create a 'shared usage' pathway for cyclists and pedestrians in order to complete NCNI when a dedicated cycle lane in the road would have been the appropriate solution. It should be remembered that tourists make a valuable contribution to an all-day economy and these tourists may want to enjoy a safe stroll along Sea Banks and on, to enjoy. the "fantastic views" (P.53) and generally take in the wonderful atmosphere that Tynemouth has to offer. Not enough consideration has ever been given to pedestrian safety, bur this can no longer be overlooked.</p>	<p>The issue is raised in the document and support for appropriate cycleways, on the advice of a Sustrans representative, is included.</p>
343	Student 1	<p>I like the beach as its really nice and not too much mess.</p>	<p>noted</p>
344	Student 1	<p>A great Italian Restaurant near the station.</p>	<p>noted</p>

345	Student 1	There should be more music/punk stores.	The document calls for a improved balance of uses in the Village but the planning system cannot control the kind of shop.
346	Student 1	A gym would be good for burning off calories from the ice cream stores.	The document encourages a range of sport and leisure pursuits including swimming, walking and cycling. The document has been amended to include suggestion of an outdoor gym or other suitable exercise facility.
347	Student 1	The pool should be repaired.	Noted - supported in document.
348	Student 2	I like Mouth of the Tyne festival	Noted in document.
349	Student 2	I like the beach.	noted.
350	Student 2	I don't like parking.	Traffic and parking is cited as an issue in the Village and the document looks to alleviate the problem by suggesting a park and ride scheme, a coastal road train, better cycle facilities, increased car parking at areas outside the Village core, and also asks that a comprehensive traffic and parking strategy is pursued.
351	Student 3	More markets	The market at the station is supported and potential occasional use of Front Street but this would have to be carefully considered to protect amenity, etc.
352	Student 3	Street art and performers	Appropriate arts and culture schemes are supported in the document.
353	Student 3	More water activities	Document encourages better use of the facilities at the Haven and restoration of the outdoor pool.
354	Student 3	More flowers and nature	Document supports appropriate tree planting and flowers to improve appearance.
355	Student 4	Invite only private beach.	We do not consider this an appropriate suggestion. The beach is a public resource for all to enjoy.
356	Student 5	Skate park	The document has been amended to include suggestion of an outdoor gym, skate park or other suitable exercise facility.
357	Student 6	Sea houses	Beach huts have been granted planning permission in recent years along the coast, including at Tynemouth.
358	Student 7	I like the surf/skate/bike shops	Noted.
359	Student 7	make a skate park	The document has been amended to include suggestion of an outdoor gym, skate park or other suitable exercise facility.

360	Student 8	I like the pubs in Tynemouth because they're friendly and serve under 18s cake and fanta	Noted. The positive aspects of the pubs in Tynemouth Village are recognised however the document also notes that a balance of uses needs to be found in the Village in the future to fully respect its character.
361	Student 8	Not enough facilities like toilets	Noted - the document encourages more facilities.
362	Student 8	Restore the outdoor pool to attract more visitors and increase income.	Noted. Supported in the document.
363	English Heritage	It's fantastic to see that the community has campaigned for the production of, and progressed, this document. The document is aspirational and contains some positive proposals for enhancement of the conservation area. However, in order for it to be of most value, the vision and the content must be "adopted" and by the whole Local Authority and be taken into account when making decisions about the area. I acknowledge that it is to be formally adopted as a SPD and welcome this move.	Noted.
364	English Heritage	The document is well structured and easy to follow and contains some very useful advice for owners/occupiers. The Typical Year Planner could be really useful as a quick reference guide and the Public Realm Management Guidelines a useful tool for the Highways Authority.	Noted.
365	English Heritage	Given that this is a community-led document I wonder whether a section on funding opportunities might be beneficial?	The document sets out that external funding should be investigated. It is not considered appropriate to go into detail on what funding opportunities may be available.
366	English Heritage	s.4.2.2 the restoration of Tynemouth Station was funded by the Sea Change programme not the Heritage Lottery Fund. This was a Government funding scheme for seaside towns. English Heritage has also contributed approaching £1million to the project over two decades of partnership funding.	Amendments made.
367	English Heritage	The various area partnership grant schemes for the conservation area were funded by EH and HLF.	Amendments made.
368	English Heritage	English Heritage, across the whole of the organisation, supports the vision of the document.	Noted
369	English Heritage	In relation to the Priory and Castle specifically, we have recently implemented drainage works in order to improve facilities for the coastguard station, as well as the public toilets in the Warrant Officer's Quarter and the staff toilet facilities in the gatehouse. When the works are complete we'll look to let the former coastguard station for appropriate uses.	Noted. Appropriate uses for the building are supported and this information has been added to document.

370	English Heritage	In relation to the point raised with regards to the bottom gate for the associated ditch, as this gate was installed to provide controlled contractor access only, English Heritage does not intend to open this for public access in order that we can retain a secure area both in and out of opening hours and enable us to manage the health and safety of all concerned.	Document amended to acknowledge EH reasoning and asking EH to consider appropriate signage and perhaps consider opening up in the future is possible.
371	English Heritage	Unfortunately whilst we appreciate the desire for a scheme for floodlighting the site, it is unlikely to be progressed due to the enormous cost associated with these works. However, we continue to work with the Council and other tourism agencies in promoting the site, nationally and locally, and would welcome the opportunity to work with the community in communicating the site's special qualities to the wider public, helping to drive visitors to the Priory, Castle and Village.	The cost of such a scheme is noted. However, the document notes that this is a long term aim, and perhaps in the future it could be achieved.
372	English Heritage	With regards to the screening for SEA, we concur with the Council's Screening Opinion. North Tyneside is not governed by and statutory development plan which has been formally subjected to a sustainability appraisal. Although likely to be positive in nature, the environmental effects in terms of cultural heritage are likely to be less than significant for the purposes of the SEA Directive.	Noted.
373	Resident 58	Agree that the 306 could be re-routed away from Front street. A shared space approach sounds potentially good but I know of issues in Durham, Gateshead and Newcastle. Any scheme would have to be really well thought out so that it was safe, etc.	The document acknowledges that any such scheme needs to be carefully considered. Any scheme would be subject to a full assessment by Highway engineers.
374	Student 9	I like the beach because in the winter I can walk my dog on it but, I also like to go to the priory and go to Tynemouth Market and the Cafés and the shops	noted
375	Student 9	I don't like the old pool because it spoils the looks of Tynemouth	Noted. Restoration is supported in the document.
376	Student 9	The Swimming Pool and the different facilities for the toilets and changing rooms at the beach could be improved.	Noted. Restoration is supported in the document, along with improved facilities.
377	Student 10	Good transport links. Historical.	Noted.
378	Student 10	I don't like the amount of pubs. Parking	Document encourages improvements in both areas.

379	Student 10	More shops.	The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area.
380	Student 11	One of the things I like in Tynemouth is Tynemouth Market. I also like the beach when it is nice weather.	noted.
381	Student 11	There's not really much I don't like in Tynemouth.	noted.
382	Student 11	I would change the amount of parking there is. Also add more facilities and shops.	Document encourages improvements in all mentioned areas.
383	Student 12	I like going to the pubs there with my parents, also I like the bench there as I go with my mates.	noted.
384	Student 12	There aren't enough toilets there.	Improved facilities are encouraged in the document.
385	Student 12	I would have an indoor and outdoor skatepark, a indoor gym, and ice rink, an outdoor pool.	The document encourages a range of sport and leisure pursuits including swimming, walking and cycling. The document has been amended to include suggestion of an outdoor gym, skate park or other suitable exercise facility.
386	Student 12	More ice cream shops and more toilets.	The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area - the planning system cannot control the kind of shop though. Improved facilities are encouraged in the document.
387	Student 13	I like the view, the buildings, the character it's trying to have.	noted.
388	Student 13	The atmosphere is very dull and timid.	We would disagree that the area is dull.
389	Student 13	Have street art and/or performers. More flowers/ trees/nature, more activities for the beach and water and have more markets.	Document supports appropriate tree planting and flowers to improve appearance. Appropriate arts and culture schemes are supported in the document. The market at the station is supported and potential occasional use of Front Street but this would have to be carefully considered to protect amenity, etc. Document encourages better use of the facilities at the Haven and restoration of the outdoor pool.
390	Student 14	I like the shops, beach and cafés.	Noted.
391	Student 14	Nothing really. I like the way it is.	noted.
392	Student 14	More toilets.	Improved facilities are encouraged in the document.
393	Student 15	I like the beach and the outdoor shops including Tynemouth Market.	noted.
394	Student 15	Nothing really. I like the way it is.	noted.

395	Student 15	Maybe some new shops.	The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area - the planning system cannot control the kind of shop though.
396	Student 16	Have more free Wi Fi and build a Hunger Games. Also, have an internet store and make it more appealing to nerds.	This document has no remit to improve wi-fi supply. The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area - the planning system cannot control the kind of shop though.
397	Student 17	I like the Ice Cream, I guess.	noted.
398	Student 17	You could build more stores for the nerdy people among us. Eg// Free Wi Fi places, Comic book/Fiction stores. Also KFC would be very popular because it is a very popular food chain.	The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area - the planning system cannot control the kind of shop though.
399	Student 18	More changing rooms at the beach, with beach houses and toilets. Improve the outdoor pool and and a diving pool. Family changing rooms close to Crusoe's.	Improved facilities are encouraged in the document.
400	Student 18	I like Subway because it's nice and always has sweetcorn. I like Café 61 because they make good sandwiches. The Market is unique and very good. You should be able to go into the lighthouse. Deep Blue is nice but it's in Cullercoats.	noted.
401	Student 19	There is nothing in Tynemouth I don't like.	noted
402	Student 20	They have nice ice cream and the beach is good.	noted.
403	Student 20	Free Wi-Fi, better parking, a synthetic ice rink, more shops and less bars.	This document has no remit to improve wi-fi supply. Document encourages improvements in parking. It is not considered that there is a site for an ice rink within the conservation area but the document does encourage better use of the sporting facilities and opportunities it already has, plus new facilities for exercise. The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area - the planning system cannot control the kind of shop though.



404	Student 21	More public facilities, An outdoor pool. More 'teeny' clothes shops such as Topshop, New Look etc. More food places. More parking spaces and more things to do.	Document encourages improvements in parking and public facilities. Document encourages restoration of the pool. The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area - the planning system cannot control the kind of shop though.
405	Student 22	I like the beach and the market mainly.	noted.
406	Student 22	I don't really like all of the pubs and things because there's is always lot's of drunk people.	The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to lessen the impact of drinking establishments. The Licensing team are undertaking their consultation on this matter now. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.
407	Student 22	I would change some of the pubs/bars into clothes shops for teenagers or something just so there's is things for teenagers to do in Tynemouth.	Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area - the planning system cannot control the kind of shop though.
408	Student 23	I like going to the beach and going to Tynemouth Market.	noted.
409	Student 23	No toilet facilities and it's hard to get parked.	Document encourages improvements in parking and public facilities.
410	Student 23	More parking areas around Front Street.	There is little scope to increase parking in the Front St area. However the document encourages improvements in parking throughout the conservation area.
411	Student 24	Swimming pool, more shopping, parking improvements, new skate park, new toilets, restaurants, wildlife.	All are encouraged in the document, and it has been amended to include suggestion of an outdoor gym, skate park or other suitable exercise facility.
412	Student 25	Outdoor pool, more ice cream shops, more markets, more places to park. More shops , less bars. More toilets.	All are encouraged in the document, although the planning system cannot control the kind of shop.
413	Student 26	Mcdonalds, KFC, Subway and Burger King with more parking.	Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area - the planning system cannot control the kind of shop though. Document encourages improvements in parking

414	Student 27	Donkey rides, parks for kids, more parking, Cafés, more ice cream, Beach House and better cycle routes.	Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area - the planning system cannot control the kind of shop though. Document encourages improvements in parking. There is sufficient provision of parks in the area for children but the document encourages sports/play facilities for older children. Beach huts have been granted planning permission in recent years along the coast, including at Tynemouth. Improvements to cycle routes are supported in the document. Use of the beach and good facilities for visitors are supported but it isn't felt necessary to give specific support for donkey rides.
415	Student 28	I like the shops, ice cream and beaches.	Noted.
416	Student 28	I don't like the parking, bars and the noise.	Document encourages improvements in parking. The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to lessen the impact of drinking establishments. The Licensing team are undertaking their consultation on this matter now. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.
417	Student 28	Putting kids clubs for children to do more. Shops so there is a variety of stuff.	The document encourages a range of sport and leisure pursuits including swimming, walking and cycling. The document has been amended to include suggestion of an outdoor gym, skate park or other suitable exercise facility that would appeal to young people. Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area.
418	Student 29	I like the shops, Tynemouth, Beach, Castle, Cafés and views.	Noted.
419	Student 29	I don't like the toilets and the parking.	Document encourages improvements in parking and public facilities.

420	Student 29	More parking places, toilets, flowers, outdoor pool, less bars and more shops, more Tynemouth markets around.	All are supported in the document. The market at the station is supported and potential occasional use of Front Street but this would have to be carefully considered to protect amenity, etc.
421	Student 30	I like the beach and food and the park sometimes and ice cream and restaurants.	noted.
422	Student 30	I don't like the amount of cars, and the lack of toilets.	Document encourages improvements in alleviating the dominance of traffic and public facilities.
423	Student 30	More better toilets and more parking.	Document encourages improvements in parking and public facilities.
424	Student 31	I like the Subway, Beach, Golf.	noted.
425	Student 31	The parking and drunks.	Document encourages improvements in parking. The Regeneration section includes an objective to improve the balance of uses to best protect the character of the area. The document calls for a Cumulative Impact Policy to lessen the impact of drinking establishments. The Licensing team are undertaking their consultation on this matter now. Also see policy AS/6.6 "Coastal Evening Economy: Whitley Bay and Tynemouth" of the Local Plan: Consultation Draft for a policy approach to better balancing uses.
426	Student 31	Better pool, more parking.	Document encourages improvements in parking and encourages restoration of the pool as a high priority.