

4

## **TYNE PORT HEALTH AUTHORITY**

## **Corporate Risk Register**

Colin MacDonald, Clerk to the Authority, Stephen Taggart, Chief Port Health Officer 2 September 2015

Joint Board (approval pending) To be presented on 6 October 2015

**Revision No.:** 

Date Update Completed:

Prepared By:

Approved By:

Date:

**RISK DEFINITION / DESCRIPTION** CURRENT RISK SCORE Risk Risk Risk Score Likelihood A - F mpact I IV Risk No. **RiskTheme Risk Area** Threats Consequence Control TPHA 1. Principles. The Tyne Port Heath Authority Failure to comply with the governance Inability to discharge the Annual appointment of members by each riparian authority; Order 2010. requirements of the Tyne Port Heath statutory functions and duties of the Authority due Authority Order 2010. Appointment of Chair and Vice-Chair of the Joint Board; to ineffective governance Annual Appointment of Officers to the Authority; arrangements; Failed external audit leading to special Approval of annual review of Constitution; measures being put in place; Approval of Calendar of Meetings for the year; Е 3 L Reputation Accurate minute-taking and review at following meetings; Declarations of interest from members documented and minuted and conflicts arising are appropriately addressed. TPHA 2. Principles. The Tyne Port Heath Authority Failure to discharge statutory functions Public Health Risks; Statutory appointments to the Authority made; Order 2010. assigned by the Tyne Port Health Authority -Litigation; Annual Service Plan approved by the Joint Board; Order 2010. Public Enquiries; Inquests; -Reputation. Annual Setting of Fees and Charges; Employment and retention of an adequate number of suitably 2 D competent officers.





TARGET SCORE			
Risk Likelihood A - F	Risk Impact I - IV	Risk Score	Comments
E	3	L	NO CHANGE TO SCORE All controls in place resulting in appropriate risk mitigation measures being taken.
E	2	Μ	CHANGE IN SCORE: E2 TO D2 All control measures now in place. Increase in score from E2 to D2 (although retained as a Medium Risk) to reflect risk to the retention of suitably competent officers. The host Council is currently considering Voluntary Redundancy applications from officers in Environmental Health that contribute to the Tyne Port Health Authority. The host Council are aware of the requirements of the TPHA. However the risk has been increased to acknowledge the pending situation.

Prepared	By:
----------	-----

Date Update Completed:

4

Approved By:

Date:

**Revision No.:** 

Colin MacDonald, Clerk to the Authority, Stephen Taggart, Chief Port Health Officer

2 September 2015 Joint Board *(approval pending)* To be presented on 6 October 2015



RISK DEFINITION / DESCRIPTION					CURRENT RISK SCORE			TARGET SCORE			
Risk No.	RiskTheme	Risk Area	Threats	Consequence	Risk Likelihood A - F	Risk Impact I - IV	Risk Score	Control	Risk Likelihood A - F	Risk Impact I - IV	Risk Score Comments
TPHA 3.	Public Value.	The Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015.	a relevant body as prescribed by the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 by	-Failed external audit leading to special measures being put in place; -Financial mismanagement; -Reputation	D	3	L	Approval of Annual Accounting Statements and financial controls prepared in accordance with regulatory requirements; Approval of annual Internal Audit Report following review of effectiveness; Provide annual opportunity for the exercise of electors' rights in accrodance with regulatory requirements; Approval of annual assessment of risks and take appropriate steps to manage risk; Adequate insurance cover in place via host Council.	D	3	NO CHANGE TO SCORE All controls measures now in place. The drafting of this risk has been updated to reflect the new legislative regime. Following Government's abolition of the Audit Commission a new Act and associated regulations now covers the Authority. There is no material change to the legislative and audit requirements for the Authority and it remains compliant.
TPHA 4.	Public Value.	Financial Management.	<ul> <li>-Precept: Non-Payment and inadequacy;</li> <li>-Reserves: Inadequate, unbudgeted spends, contingent liability;</li> <li>-Cash handling: misappropriation;</li> <li>-Salaries: accurate payment and handling;</li> <li>-Supply of goods and services: reciept -and correct invoicing;</li> <li>VAT: incorrect analysis , charging and claims to HMRC;</li> <li>-Consequential Loss: due to improper performance and general liability;</li> <li>-Inadequate financial record-keeping;</li> <li>-Decisions taken illegally;</li> <li>-Fraud: by officers of the Authority or the host Council;</li> <li>-Non compliance with host Council internal Financial Regulations and Standing Orders.</li> </ul>	-Insolvency; -Financial mismangement; -Breach of legislation and litigation; -Failed external audit leading to special measures being put in place; -Reputation;	D	3	L	<ul> <li>Precept agreed annually by Joint Board as part of budget- setting process. Receipt from Council's confirmed by RFO. Monthly budget monitoring between RFO and Clark;</li> <li>Adequacy and liabilities considered at budget setting and reviewed in annual statement of accounts;</li> <li>Cash handling is avoided by where necessary appropriate controls in place via adoption of host Council Financial Regulations and subject to internal audit of the Authority;</li> <li>Salaries, good and services, VAT and record-keeping monitored via monthly budget monitoring process between RFO and Clerk. Payment subject to host Council Financial Regs and associated processes and subject to internal audit of the Authority;</li> <li>Officer Delegation Scheme in place and proecesses established to ensure correct authorisation;</li> <li>Anti-fraud and Corruption Policy in place and Authority subject to internal audit;</li> <li>Public Liability insurance value appropriately set.</li> </ul>	D	3	NO CHANGE TO SCORE All controls in place resulting in appropriate risk mitigation measures being taken .
TPHA 5.	Principles.	Internal Audit.	Internal Audit is ineffective in undertaking its role.	-Financial mismangement; -Breach of legislation and litigation; -Failed external audit leading to special measures being put in place; -Reputation;	E	3	L	The Internal Audit is undertaken by the the Internal Audit function of the host Council. Their effectiveness is assessed annually against the Code of Practice for Internal Audit in Local Government (CIPFA 2006) and is currently fully compliant. Internal Audit reports are made to the Joint Board of the Authority. The Internal Audit of the Authority is subject to external audit.	E	3	NO CHANGE TO SCORE All controls in place resulting in appropriate risk mitigation measures being taken .



Prepared	By:
----------	-----

Date Update Completed:

Approved By:

Date:

**Revision No.:** 

4 **RISK DEFINITION / DESCRIPTION** CURRENT RISK SCORE Risk Likelihood Risk Impact I Risk Risk No. **RiskTheme Risk Area** Consequence Control Threats Score A - F . IN TPHA 6. Failure to discharge responsibilities as a -Risk to Public Health; Delivery of 24/7 Officer Call-out System; Emergency Preparedness. Response. Category 1 Responder under the Civil Litigation; Included in the the full statutory process as a Category 1 Contingencies Act 2004. -Public Enquiries; responder - this is known locally as the Local Resilience Inquests; Failure to be prepared to respond to Forum (LRF); -Reputation; emergency event. Participation in multi-agency Emergency Preparedness activities; Е 2 Participation in multi-agency response and recovery activities; Maintain Emergency Response Activation arrangements; Validate Plans by training and exercising. TPHA 7. -Risk to Public Health: Response Business Continuity Management. Failure to discharge responsibilities as a Maintain Business Continuity Plans and arrangements; Category 1 Responder under the Civil -Litigation; Contingencies Act 2004. -Public Enquiries; Undertake periodically a Business Continuity Business Impact Assessment and design Action Plan; Inquests: Failure to maintain continuity of service due -Reputation; to disruption events. For example loss of Implement Action Plans; Е 2 key Authority staff [industrial action], failure of utitlities, communications, premises, ICT Annually review Business Continuity arrangements. or fuel supply. TPHA 8. Operational Operational Policies and Failure to be operationally fit for purpose in -Breach of legislation; Maintain compliance via all relevant policies and procedures complying with specified legislation. For Procedures. -Litigation; of the host Council; Fitness. example: -Special measures being -Freedom of Information and Data Training of appropriate officers by host Council in their put in place by external Е 3 L adopted policies and procedures; Protection; regulators; -Human Rights; -Reputation. Link Constitution of the Authority to the host Council policies -Equalities; -Employment Law; and procedures.

Colin MacDonald, Clerk to the Authority,

Stephen Taggart, Chief Port Health Officer

2 September 2015

Joint Board (approval pending)

To be presented on 6 October 2015

-Health & Safety.





TARGET SCORE			
Risk Likelihood A - F	Risk Impact I - IV	Risk Score	Comments
			CHANGE IN SCORE: D2 TO E2
E		М	The Authority and its officers continue to be represented on the host Council's internal Emergency Response Leadership Group (ERLG). The Clerk is also a member of the Northumbria Local Resilience Forum (LRF).
	2		Officers continue to involved in training and exercising undertaken via the host Council's arrangements. An exercise to test the Port's Marine Emergency Plan is scheduled to take place during winter 2015/16.
			The score has been reduced to its target score to reflect the extent of the arrangements now in place.
			NO CHANGE TO SCORE
E	2	2 <b>M</b>	Business Continuity Plans are in place and are reviewed annually in November as part of the host Council's arrangements. Training an exercising has been undertaken and is scheduled as part of the emergency
			preparedness arrangements.
			NO CHANGE TO SCORE
E		L	All control measures now in place.
	3		

Prepared	By:
----------	-----

Date Update Completed:

4

Approved By:

Date:

**Revision No.:** 

**RISK DEFINITION / DESCRIPTION** CURRENT RISK SCORE Risk Likelihood Risk Impact I -IV Risk Score Risk No. RiskTheme **Risk Area** Threats Consequence Control A - F TPHA 9. Discharge of Statutory Functions. Failure to discharge responsibilities as a -Risk to Public Health; Qualified Environmental Health Officers and Technical Operational Fitness. Port Health Authority under domestic Public -Breach of legislation; Officers possessing necessary competencies to enforce the Health and International Health -Litigation; designated legislation; Regulations. -Public Enquiries; Critical mass of staff available to the Authority; -Inquests; -Special measures being Adherance to legislative principles including statutory Codes put in place by external of Practice and guidance. Full consideration and appropraite regulators; 2 D decision-making in relation to available non-statutory -Reputation. guidance; Performance Management arrangements on a team and individual basis; Appropriate operational management systems in place. TPHA 10 Operational Responding to legislative change Failure to appropriately prepare and -Risk to Public Health; Authority representation at Northern Ports Liason Group Fitness. implement changes to duties imposed by -Breach of legislation; meetings. new legislation. -Litigation; -Public Enquiries; Regular monitoring of legislative progress through Parliament Inquests; -Special measures being Training of officers in advance of legislation coming into effect F 2 L put in place by external Updating of Authority procedures to reflect any legislative regulators; -Reputation. changes including updating officer authorisations

Colin MacDonald, Clerk to the Authority,

Stephen Taggart, Chief Port Health Officer

2 September 2015

Joint Board (approval pending)

To be presented on 6 October 2015





TARGET SCORE			
Risk Likelihood A - F	Risk Impact I - IV	Risk Score	Comments
E	2	Μ	CHANGE IN SCORE: E2 TO D2 All control measures now in place. Increase in score from E2 to D2 to reflect to the potential change in the structure of the host Council's wider Environmental Health service and resources available (as outlined in risk TPHA2 above).
F	2	L	NO CHANGE TO SCORE Appropriate 'horizon scanning' arrangements are in place and potential impacts of change are closely monitoried. The Health Protection (Ships & Aircraft) Regulations were due to come into force in April 2015 but this has been delayed and no new commencement date has been set. Proposed new EU regulation of Food Official Controls are expected in 2016.